Making the Grade at NJAS

The carcass contest helps Angus juniors see a bigger picture of the beef industry.

by Ellie Hoffschneider, American Angus Association

undreds of quality Angus cattle went through the showring during the 2012 National Junior Angus Show (NJAS) in Louisville, Ky. The steers entered into the carcass contest never made a trip across the shavings. Instead, the animals were judged after processing, giving juniors a chance to analyze carcass data and learn about the end product of the industry — beef.

"It's a great contest, because it allows us to showcase our knowledge of the entire beef industry process, from live animal to the product," says Tyler Ottensmeier, McLouth, Kan.

After arriving at the NJAS, exhibitors weighed their steers and sent them to an area processing plant. The animals were ranked according to carcass merit, and the top steers were announced as part of the NJAS award ceremony July 20.

In the bred-and-owned carcass steer division, Cortney Bromenshenk, Billings, Mont., claimed champion honors. The 1,400-pound (lb.) steer sired by Silveira's Style 9303 graded USDA Choice with a USDA Yield Grade (YG) of 3.2.

Hannah Wright, Spring City, Tenn., won reserve champion bred-and-owned carcass steer with a 1,165-lb. son of SRS Really Windy 9188. The steer graded low-Choice with a 2.3 YG.

In the owned division, Laramie Chaffin, Roodhouse, Ill., won champion carcass steer. Her steer sired by Summitcrest High Prime

► Cortney Bromenshenk (center), Billings, Mont., won grand champion bred-and-owned carcass steer. Also pictured are David Warfield (left), manager of Briarwood Angus Farms, presenting; and Brenda Bromenshenk.

	:	2012 NJAS Carcass Coi	ntest sta	te group results	
Rank	Team	Name	Entry no.	Carcass premium \$/Hd.	State Avg.
	Missouri	Thummel, Austin	22	92.51	
1	Missouri	Thummel, Austin	16	87.56	
	Missouri	Thummel, Chase	12	70.21	\$83.43
	Kansas 1	Sankey, Luke	35	84.81	
2	Kansas 1	Ottensmeier, Tyler	43	69.16	
	Kansas 1	McCabe, Hannah	10	6.27	\$53.41
	Nebraska	Clowser, Michaela	17	71.52	
3	Nebraska	Skow, Reagan	25	70.00	
	Nebraska	Skow, Reagan	41	17.78	\$53.10
	Montana	Bromenshenk, Cortney	38	132.64	
4	Montana	Bromenshenk, Garrett	5	16.43	
	Montana	Bromenshenk, Cortney	37	8.74	\$52.60
	Kansas 2	McCabe, Hannah	32	17.73	
5	Kansas 2	McCabe, Esther	40	16.53	
	Kansas 2	McCabe, Esther	42	16.45	\$16.90

Two weighed 1,290 lb. and graded low-Prime with a 3.3 YG.

Caroline Cowles, Rockfield, Ky., had the reserve champion carcass steer with a 1,240-lb. steer sired by GRC SB Hot Rod 234 366W. The steer graded low-Prime with a 3.4 YG.

In the state group division, the team from Missouri won overall. Team members



► Hannah Wright (right), Spring City, Tenn., claimed reserve grand champion bred-and-owned carcass steer. Warfield is pictured presenting the award.

were Austin and Chase Thummel, both of Sheridan, Mo. In second was the Kansas 1 team, composed of team members Luke Sankey, Andale, Kan.; Tyler Ottensmeier, McLouth, Kan.; and Hannah McCabe, Elk City, Kan.

"Through this contest, my girls learn how we provide safe, wholesome beef to



► Laramie Chaffin (right), Roodhouse, Ill., receives a trophy and banner for grand champion carcass steer from Warfield.

NJAS 2012 · LOUISVILLE, KY



► Caroline Cowles (right), Rockfield, Ky., claimed reserve grand champion carcass steer. Also pictured are Corbin Cowles (left) and Catherine Cowles.



► Missouri won champion state carcass group. Pictured are (from left) Chase Thummel and Austin Thummel, both of Sheridan, Mo; and Warfield, presenting the award.

consumers," says Blaine Clowser, Milford, Neb., who says he values the lessons the contest taught his daughters. "It shows how well we are breeding, feeding and handling our animals with objective data."

Editor's Note: Ellie Hoffschneider is public relations and communications intern for the American Angus Association.



► Kansas won reserve champion state carcass group. Pictured are (from left) Warfield, presenting the award; **Hannah McCabe**, Elk City; **Tyler Ottensmeier**, McLouth; and **Luke Sankey**, Andale.

Rame State Firth Hope Firth HCM						2012 N	JAS Ca	rcass C	2012 NJAS Carcass Contest results	sults						
Cowles, Caroline 1, 1,290 6.2.% 815 6.45 11.8 780 20 PR-3 3.3 21.00 Cowles, Caroline 29 1,240 6.2.% 775 0.65 12.5 740 20 PR-3 3.4 21.00 Bromenshenk, Cortling Montana 38 1,400 59.2% 829 0.45 12.3 520 CH-3 2.5 10.00 Cowles, Corbin 31 1,255 6.2.% 87 0.40 14.0 420 2.0 CH-3 2.5 15.00 10.0 Cowles, Corbin 31 1,255 6.2.% 87 0.30 13.1 420 2.0 CH-3 2.5 15.00 10.00 1	Rank		State group	Entry no.	Live wt. (lb.)	Dressing %	HCW (lb.)	(in.)	REA (sq. in.)	Marb. Score (400 = Small ⁰⁰)	% КРН	90		'	·	EM
Cowles, Caroline 29 1,240 6.5% 775 6.65 12.5 740 2.9 776 0.65 12.5 740 2.9 775 0.65 12.5 750 78 3.4 1.00 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.00 <th< th=""><th>1</th><th>Chaffin, Laramie</th><th></th><th>14</th><th>1,290</th><th>63.2%</th><th>815</th><th>0.45</th><th>11.8</th><th>780</th><th>2.0</th><th>PR-</th><th>3.3</th><th>21.00</th><th></th><th></th></th<>	1	Chaffin, Laramie		14	1,290	63.2%	815	0.45	11.8	780	2.0	PR-	3.3	21.00		
Homewashenk, Cortney Montana 38 1,400 59.2% 829 0.45 12.3 520 CH 3.7 Feb 1.00 59.2% 829 0.45 12.3 520 CH 2.5 15.00	2	Cowles, Caroline		29	1,240	62.5%	775	0.65	12.5	740	2.0	R-	3.4	21.00		
tyingeside, Ethan 27 1,335 61.9% 827 0.40 420 20 CH- 2.5 15.00 15	8	Bromenshenk, Cortney	Montana	38	1,400	59.2%	829	0.45	12.3	520	2.0	СНО				
tombes, Corblin 33 1,255 6.2.7% 787 0.35 13.2 450 6.0 CH 2.5 15.00 11 wight, Hannah 36 1,145 64.5% 722 0.30 13.1 410 20 CH 2.3 Ke 15.00 11 20 CH 2.3 Ke 15.00 11 20 CH 2.3 Ke 15.00 11 20 CH 2.3 Ke 15.00	4	Whiteside, Ethan		27	1,335	61.9%	827	0.40	14.0	420	2.0	Ë	2.5	15.00		
unight, Hannah 36 1,165 64,5% 752 0.30 13.1 410 2.0 CH- 2.3 He 15.00 Cropp, Michael 9 1,145 64,0% 733 0.60 11.9 540 2.0 CH- 2.3 Yes 14.50 Wight, Hannah 39 1,090 66.8% 728 0.55 12.0 500 2.0 CH- 2.3 Yes 14.50 Bromenshenk, Cortney 23 1,215 61.4% 746 0.20 12.9 450 2.0 CH- 2.4 14.50 12.9 450 2.0 CH- 2.4 14.50 12.9 450 2.0 CH- 2.4 13.50 11.0	2	Cowles, Corbin		33	1,255	62.7%	787	0.35	13.2	450	2.0	CH	2.5	15.00		
Cropp, Michael 9 1,145 64,0% 733 0.60 11.9 540 Ch 3.4 Ves 14.50 Wright, Hannah 39 1,090 66.8% 728 0.55 12.0 590 20 CH 3.2 Yes 14.50 Bromenshenk, Cortney 23 1,215 61.4% 746 0.40 12.9 450 20 CH 20 CH 3.2 Yes 14.50 13.50 Bromenshenk, Cortney 32 1,215 61.4% 746 0.40 12.9 450 20 CH 22 13.50	9	Wright, Hannah		36	1,165	64.5%	752	0.30	13.1	410	2.0	Ċ.	2.3	15.00		
Wright, Hannah 39 1,090 66.8% 728 0.55 12.0 590 CH 3.2 Yes 14.50 1 Bromenshenk, Cortney 23 1,215 61.4% 746 0.40 12.9 450 20 CH 2.6 13.50	7	Cropp, Michael		6	1,145	%0.49	733	09.0	11.9	540	2.0	СНО				
Bromenshenk, Cortney 23 1,215 61.4% 746 0.40 12.9 450 CH 2.6 13.50 13.50 Bromenshenk, Cortney As 1,180 62.7% 740 0.30 12.7 420 2.0 CH 2.4 13.50 Thummel, Austin Missouri 22 1,310 64.2% 841 0.40 12.3 480 2.0 CH 3.4 11.00 Jallo, Jason Missouri 16 1,245 63.9% 796 0.55 12.1 490 2.0 CH 1.9 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas I 16 1,245 63.9% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 3.4 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas I 1,215 64.6% 61.4 0.45 11.5 650 CH 3.7 CH 3.7 CH 3.7 CH 3.7 CH 3.7 CH 3.7 CH 3.1 3.0 CH <t< td=""><th>∞</th><td>Wright, Hannah</td><td></td><td>39</td><td>1,090</td><td>%8.99</td><td>728</td><td>0.55</td><td>12.0</td><td>590</td><td>2.0</td><td>СНО</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	∞	Wright, Hannah		39	1,090	%8.99	728	0.55	12.0	590	2.0	СНО				
Bromenshenk, Cortney Missouri 24 1,180 62.7% 740 0.30 12.7 420 CD CH 2.4 13.50 Thummel, Austin Missouri 22 1,310 64.2% 841 0.40 12.3 480 2.0 CH 3.1 11.00 Jallo, Jason 36 960 70.2% 674 0.20 12.7 490 2.0 CH 13.6 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas 1 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 3.4 11.00 Throne, Katherine Kansas 1 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 3.2 CH 3.2 <th>6</th> <td>Bromenshenk, Cortney</td> <td></td> <td>23</td> <td>1,215</td> <td>61.4%</td> <td>746</td> <td>0.40</td> <td>12.9</td> <td>450</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>CH-</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>13.50</td> <td>•</td> <td></td>	6	Bromenshenk, Cortney		23	1,215	61.4%	746	0.40	12.9	450	2.0	CH-	2.6	13.50	•	
Thummel, Austin Missouri 22 1,310 64.2% 841 0.40 12.3 480 CH 3.1 11.00 Jallo, Jason 26 960 70.2% 674 0.20 12.7 410 2.0 CH 1.9 13.50 Thummel, Austin Missouri 16 1,245 63.9% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 1.9 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas 1 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 3.5 Fe 11.00 Throne, Katherine Kansas 1 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 11.5 480 2.5 CH 3.7 Fe 2.0 CH 3.3 Fe 1.00 1.00 1.1 480 1.1 480 1.5 1.1 480 1.5 1.2 480 1.2 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 <td< td=""><th>10</th><td>Bromenshenk, Cortney</td><td></td><td>24</td><td>1,180</td><td>62.7%</td><td>740</td><td>0.30</td><td>12.7</td><td>420</td><td>2.0</td><td>CH</td><td>2.4</td><td>13.50</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	10	Bromenshenk, Cortney		24	1,180	62.7%	740	0.30	12.7	420	2.0	CH	2.4	13.50		
Jallo, Jason 26 960 70.2% 674 0.20 12.7 410 2.0 CH- 1.9 13.50 Thummel, Austin Missouri 16 1,245 63.9% 771 0.65 12.1 490 2.0 CH- 3.4 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas 1 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH- 3.5 Yes 11.00 Throne, Katherine Kathesk, Richaela Nebraska 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 13.3 450 2.5 CH- 3.7 12.00 Clowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,105 66.9% 739 0.35 13.3 460 2.0 CH- 3.7 8.00 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 736 0.35 13.5 580 CH- 3.5 782 8.00 Walser, Cullen 43 1,105 65.0% 738 0.60 <th>11</th> <td>Thummel, Austin</td> <td>Missouri</td> <td>22</td> <td>1,310</td> <td>64.2%</td> <td>841</td> <td>0.40</td> <td>12.3</td> <td>480</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>CH-</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>11.00</td> <td></td> <td></td>	11	Thummel, Austin	Missouri	22	1,310	64.2%	841	0.40	12.3	480	2.0	CH-	3.1	11.00		
Thummel, Austin Missouri 16 1,245 63.9% 776 0.55 12.1 490 2.0 CH- 3.4 11.00 Sankey, Luke Kansas 1 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 2.0 CH+ 3.5 Yes 11.00 Throne, Katherine Lowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 13.3 450 1.5 CH- 3.7 Yes 12.00 Clowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,130 66.9% 739 0.55 13.3 450 1.5 CH- 3.7 8.00 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 875 0.65 13.5 580 2.0 CH- 3.5 Yes 8.00 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.36 10.5 CH- 3.0 CH- 3.5 Yes 8.00 Ottensmeier, Tyler 43 <th>12</th> <td>Jallo, Jason</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td>096</td> <td>70.2%</td> <td>674</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>12.7</td> <td>410</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>CH</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>13.50</td> <td></td> <td></td>	12	Jallo, Jason		26	096	70.2%	674	0.20	12.7	410	2.0	CH	1.9	13.50		
Sankey, Luke Kansas I 35 1,215 63.5% 771 0.60 11.8 650 CH 3.5 Yes 11.00 Throne, Katherine Nebraska 17 1,236 64.6% 614 0.45 11.5 480 2.5 CH 2.7 12.00 Clowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 13.3 450 1.5 CH 3.7 8.00 Thummel, Chase Missouri 12 1,105 66.9% 739 0.35 10.7 460 2.0 CH 3.1 9.50 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 875 0.65 13.5 580 2.0 CH 3.1 9.50 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 CH 3.2 Yes 8.00 Ottensmeier, Tyler 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480	13	Thummel, Austin	Missouri	16	1,245	63.9%	962	0.55	12.1	490	2.0	CH-	3.4	11.00		
Throne, Katherine 28 950 64.6% 614 0.45 11.5 480 2.5 CH- 2.7 12.00 Clowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 13.3 450 1.5 CH- 3.3 8.00 Thummel, Chase Missouri 12 1,105 66.9% 739 0.35 10.7 460 2.0 CH- 3.1 9.50 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 875 0.65 13.5 580 2.0 CH- 3.5 Yes 8.00 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 420 2.0 CH- 3.5 9.50 Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH- 3.2 9.50	14	Sankey, Luke	Kansas 1	35	1,215	63.5%	771	09.0	11.8	650	2.0	÷				
Clowser, Michaela Nebraska 17 1,330 67.2% 894 0.55 13.3 450 1.5 CH 3.3 8.00 Thummel, Chase Missouri 12 1,105 66.9% 739 0.35 10.7 460 2.0 CH 3.1 9.50 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 734 0.35 13.5 580 2.0 CH 3.5 789 8.00 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 420 2.0 CH 3.0 9.50 Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH 3.2 9.50	15	Throne, Katherine		28	950	%9.79	614	0.45	11.5	480	2.5	CH-	2.7	12.00		
Thummel, Chase Missouri 12 1,105 66.9% 739 0.35 10.7 460 2.0 CH- 3.1 9.50 Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 875 0.65 13.5 580 2.0 CH0 3.5 Yes 8.00 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 420 2.0 CH- 3.0 9.50 Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH- 3.2 9.50	16	Clowser, Michaela	Nebraska	17	1,330	67.2%	894	0.55	13.3	450	1.5	CH	3.3	8.00		
Skow, Reagan Nebraska 25 1,295 67.6% 875 0.65 13.5 580 2.0 CH0 3.5 Yes 8.00 Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 420 2.0 CH- 3.0 9.50 Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH- 3.2 9.50	17	Thummel, Chase	Missouri	12	1,105	%6.99	739	0.35	10.7	760	2.0	CH-	3.1	9.50		
Walser, Cullen 30 1,105 66.4% 734 0.30 10.5 420 2.0 CH- 3.0 9.50 Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH- 3.2 9.50	18	Skow, Reagan	Nebraska	25	1,295	%9.79	875	0.65	13.5	580	2.0	СНО				
Ottensmeier, Tyler Kansas 1 43 1,120 65.0% 728 0.60 12.3 480 2.0 CH- 3.2 9.50	19	Walser, Cullen		30	1,105	%4.99	734	0.30	10.5	420	2.0	CH	3.0	9.50		
	20	Ottensmeier, Tyler	Kansas 1	43	1,120	%0:59	728	09.0	12.3	480	2.0	CH.	3.2	9.50		