

Lost Opportunities in

How far has the industry come in recapturing \$12 million in lost opportunity?

by **Troy Smith**, field editor

It is said that there is always room for improvement. Speaking at the 2014 International Livestock Congress (ILC—USA 2014) Jan. 14 in Denver, Colo., meat scientist and Colorado State University professor emeritus Gary Smith talked about opportunities for the U.S. beef industry to improve profitability and its competitive position in the marketplace.

Smith referenced a 1991 International Stockmen's School presentation by former National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA) economist Chuck Lambert. In that presentation, titled "Lost Opportunities in Beef Production," Lambert had listed 11 sources of potentially avoidable losses amounting to \$12 million annually.

"Chuck said the beef industry could increase gross revenues by 27% (about \$45 billion at that time) if existing 'lost opportunities' were corrected. The total of these beef industry inefficiencies amounted to \$458 per fed steer or heifer," stated Smith, explaining the relevance of Lambert's list.

"If some proportion of the total 'lost opportunities' could be recovered," he added, "the savings could be distributed across industry sectors and used to reduce retail beef prices, increasing beef's competitiveness and market share."

Has the industry succeeded?

Smith said 1991 also brought the first

INDUSTRY AT A CROSSROADS



National Beef Quality Audit (NBQA), which became the benchmarking standard for focused improvement in three areas — excess fat, outlier cattle and management procedures — that were thought to represent 40% of the industry's economic loss. Progress has been made in all three areas of focus.

Smith said the industry has reduced excess fat and the number of cattle that don't fit packer parameters of desirability. Additionally, management practices have changed such that carcass bruising and injection-site blemishes are significantly reduced. What about those other eight sources of potential economic loss?

"At no time since 1991 has the industry benchmarked its progress in reducing economic losses from the other eight areas representing 60% of the \$12 million that Chuck thought the beef industry was losing," said Smith.

Still room to improve

So, how has the industry fared with regard to potentially avoidable revenue reductions from reproductive performance, death loss, hot-iron branding, weaning weight,

Largely due to advancement in feeding management, but also because of genetics, feed efficiency has improved. Currently, on average, 5.75 lb. of feed is required to produce 1 lb. of gain in finishing animals. In 1991, the average feed conversion ratio was 7-to-1.

multiple processing, feed efficiency, retail shrink and out-of-stock retailers? Smith said consultation with numerous knowledgeable experts suggests answers are mixed.

Regarding reproductive performance, Smith said Lambert's data indicated that 80% of U.S. beef (and dairy) cows and heifers exposed to mating actually weaned calves. Twenty-three years later, the percentage is approximately the same.

"The industry has not been able to capitalize [on] this opportunity for economic improvement," stated Smith, adding that neither has there been improvement in overall death loss from dystocia, scours and pneumonia.

Smith said the industry has made progress in the area of weaning weight. Today's average is 550 pounds (lb.), compared to just less than 500 lb. in 1991. He said the experts credited 70% of the progress to improved genetics and 30% to improved management practices.

Some progress has been made in reducing economic losses from redundant processing of calves after weaning. According to Smith, the occurrence of multiple processing events at several stages has declined with the adoption of advanced preconditioning programs supported by veterinarians and feedyards.

Largely due to advancement in feeding management, but also because of genetics, feed efficiency has improved. Currently, on average, 5.75 lb. of feed is required to produce 1 lb. of gain in finishing animals. In 1991, the average feed conversion ratio was 7-to-1.

Cattle industry at a crossroads

The beef industry is at a crossroads, with cow numbers at record lows and beef prices at record highs. Competing proteins are getting cheaper; and fuel and grain prices continue to fluctuate. In that pretext, Bo Reagan of the International Stockmen's Educational Foundation (ISEF) welcomed attendees to the 2014 International Livestock Congress (ILC) in Denver, Colo., hosted in conjunction with the National Western Stock Show.

The daylong conference examined where the beef industry was 20 years ago and the progress it has made since 1991, spotlighting areas to keep improving. There was also a look to the future with predictions from trend analysis as to where the industry will be in 2025. The ILC addressed the latest in international trade, the changing size of the U.S. cow herd and the current status of animal welfare. A panel examined how effectively the beef industry responds to consumers. Bullet sessions addressed current and emerging issues, including antibiotic resistance, beta-agonists and the research pipeline.

Six domestic and six international students were accepted into this year's Student Travel Fellowship program. They were recognized during a lunch break.

The ISEF attracts world leaders in the beef industry through its programs and events for the free flow of information between organizations and governments representing the entire supply chain. These leaders share global opinions on issues including food safety, animal care and management, consumer interest and concerns, and education.

— by **Kasey Brown**, associate editor