ANGUS ADVISOR

Our team of Angus advisors offer regional tips for herd management.



Southern Great Plains

by David Lalman Oklahoma State University david.lalman@okstate.edu

\$M, cow weight and feed intake

Maximizing profit while producing a high-quality, wholesome product is the endgame for most cattle producers, mixed in with a good

bit of lifestyle preference. The new \$Maternal (\$M) value index is a big step forward in assisting

"Maximizing profit while producing a high-quality, wholesome product is the endgame for most cattle producers, mixed in with a good bit of lifestyle preference." — David Lalman

commercial cattle producers identify genetics that will improve cow-calf enterprise profitability.

This dollar value index estimates cow-calf enterprise (preweaning) profitability differences using nine different traits. Mature cow weight is one of those traits that has a substantial influence on \$M. The cow energy value (\$EN), intended to reflect annual feed cost savings, is also heavily influenced by mature cow weight. Cow weight can be used as an indirect estimate of annual maintenance cost due to the strong relationship between cow size and feed intake.

Most beef cattle nutritionists and computer modelers estimate the amount of feed that cows consume using an equation developed and published by the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine (NASEM); *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle*.

To make a long story short, in 1996 the NASEM beef cattle committee developed an equation to estimate cow feed intake using results from studies conducted in the '70s, '80s and '90s. The equation

> g a cow weight and s the feed digestibility or energy /le concentration. From this equation, one can

determine that each additional 100 pounds (lb.) of cow weight is associated with about 1.5 lb. per day of additional low-quality forage intake. If the cows are consuming high-quality lush pasture or highquality harvested forage, the added daily intake per hundred pounds (cwt.) of cow weight is estimated to be about 1.7 lb.

We reviewed more recent literature containing cow feed intake data to determine if this relationship had changed over time. To accomplish this, published and unpublished data from 12 different experiments that were conducted within the last ten years were analyzed.

From these studies we found 28 different treatment group means for gestating, non-lactating cows. Group average mature cow weight ranged from 1,100 to 1,530 lb. Similar to the NASEM equation, cow weight and diet digestibility explained a significant amount of the variation in daily feed intake.

Once the influence of diet digestibility and body condition score (BCS) were accounted for, each additional 100 lb. of cow weight was associated with 2.3 lb. of additional feed or forage intake daily or about 840 lb. annually.

The genetic trend for feed intake in growing cattle has been increasing over time in most breeds that report this trait. While we can't say for sure that feed intake is increasing in beef cows beyond the effects of gradual increases in mature size, this data certainly suggests that it may be increasing relative to body weight.

Regardless, Angus' \$EN and \$M indexes should help Angus breeders and commercial producers find "curve-bender" bulls with the capability to sire females with moderate mature cow size, acceptable growth and acceptable carcass weight.

Whether you use this more recent estimate, or those from the NASEM equation, they should provide perspective on the influence of mature cow weight on feed intake, annual carrying cost and appropriate stocking rate in your operation.

Western Region

by Randy C. Perry California State University – Fresno randyp@csufresno.edu

Fall-calving herds

Main focus: Finish the calving season and prepare for the breeding season

Sire selection: Continue developing a list of potential artificial insemination (Al) sires. Focus on bulls that will produce high-quality herd replacements. Talk to other producers about bulls that have worked well for them.

Prebreeding vaccinations: Get cows and heifers their prebreeding vaccinations at least 30 days prior to the start of the season. At a minimum, females should be receiving at least two vaccinations: 1) the respiratory complex plus leptospirosis and possibly vibriosis and 2) either a seven- or eight-way clostridial vaccination.

Deworming: Consider deworming females at the same time they are vaccinated with either an injectable, paste or pour-on product.

Mineral injection: Consider injecting females with MultiMin[®] at the same time that vaccinations are given. Boluses such as selenium or cooper could be used also.

Retained placenta: Continue to monitor females for the incidence of retained placenta. If problems arise, treat them promptly with a prostaglandin injection (5 or 6 cc). If that treatment does not work, then inject with another prostaglandin injection and combine that with an antibiotic either infused into the uterus, given intramuscular (IM) or subcutaneous.

Mineral supplementation: Be sure that females are receiving adequate levels of calcium, phosphorus and trace minerals deficient in your area. Mineral supplementation becomes even more important as we approach the breeding season.

BCS: Continue to monitor BCS in calving females. The target BCS is 5.0 (scale = 1 to 9) for both cows and heifers. Ideally, this level of body condition should be maintained during the breeding season.

Overconditioned: Avoid getting cows overconditioned during the breeding season as reproductive performance starts to decline if cows are above a BCS of 6.5 to 7.0. This is most typically a problem with donor females that have not raised a calf for a period of time.

Protein and energy supplementation: Be certain that both protein and energy requirements of females are being met. If possible try to have females in a state of positive energy balance (gaining weight) going into the breeding season.

Nursing calf health: Treat calves for either scours or pneumonia promptly. It is well-advised to have first and second treatment options for both conditions.

Spring-calving herds

Main focus: Getting calves weaned and keeping them healthy

Minimize weaning stress: Minimize stress on weaned calves as much as possible. Pasture weaning is a big advantage. Try to avoid dry, dusty lots for weaned calves. Shade is extremely valuable and either sprinklers or a water wagon to control dust is well worth the trouble and expense in my opinion.

Temperature changes: Hopefully Mother Nature cooperates in terms of weather changes as weaned calves are getting adjusted and transitioned to a new environment.

A targeted plan

Our target level of performance in developing bulls is an average daily gain (ADG) of 3.0 to 3.5 pounds (Ib.) per head per day. A general rule of thumb concerning the level of concentrates for bulls to achieve that level of performance is 1% of body weight.

Our target levels of performance in developing heifers is an ADG of 1.5 to 1.75 lb./head/day. I prefer to develop females on pasture rather than grain or concentrates. They must have access to good pasture resources to achieve this level of performance. For many producers, they don't have good pasture during the fall and winter and must feed their females in a lot during this time of the year.

Parasite control: After weaning, control internal and external parasites. Heifer calves should be Bang's vaccinated.

Business plan: Fall and early winter is a good time to put some thought into developing or refining business and marketing plans. Get input from employees and involve them. Many times we set goals without input from the people who are going to be involved in helping us to achieve them.

In our experience, the difference in temperature between the daytime high and nighttime low is extremely important. If that number exceeds 40 degrees, we experience a lot more problems with respiratory disease.

Started on feed: Get calves started on feed as smoothly as possible. Try to avoid any big changes either in terms of the amount of consumption or the type of ration being fed. Transition calves slowly from a total forage diet to a combination of roughages and concentrates.

Rate of development: Be sure that both weaned bull and heifer calves are being developed at adequate rates of gain so that differences in terms of genetic potential for growth can

Continued on page 58

be exhibited. However, neither sex should be developed at extremely high rates as excessive fat deposition can hinder future reproductive performance and detrimentally impact foot and leg soundness.

Southeast Region

by Jason Duggin University of Georgia jduggin@uga.edu

The endgame is profit. Everyone seeks profit, but not everyone achieves it. Producers with a longterm focus have the advantage.

The definition of profit as a noun is a financial gain, especially the difference between the amount earned and the amount spent in buying, operating, or producing something. Of course, there is a verb form also: to obtain a financial advantage or benefit, especially from an investment.

A cattle operation is an investment. The return from that investment may not be realized for multiple years and better observed in ten years. The amount of money spent in buying, operating and producing your product whether that is preconditioned calves, finished beef, or seedstock, will be a large factor. The five-year average for medium and large feeder steers (500 to 600 lb.) for October was \$187 using the Missouri Weekly Cattle Weighted Average Report from August. Of course, 2015's high prices factor into this. Those years can happen. Just like the low-price years.

Challenges in the East

Focusing on three main general factors can help over the long term: 1) cost, 2) revenue and 3) marketing. Cow input costs are part of the spending side of the equation that we do have some control over. A "correct" cow size for the operation is a great step toward getting cow cost in check. Not necessarily low cow cost, just not "elephant" cost.

The Northwest Georgia Research and Education Center cow herd is a purebred herd that is multi-generational AI focused on particular terminal traits for research purposes. In 2017, the 27 6-year-old cows averaged 1,566 lb. of mature body weight two months after weaning and ranged from 1,270 to 1,820 lb. The herd's average calf-crop weaning weight is typically 550 lb. Calves out of the heavier cows would have a greater cost for nutritional maintenance requirements. For every 200 lb. in cow weight, there is roughly an additional 3 lb. of dry matter needed per day.

For example, a 1,400 lb. cow requires 27 lb. of dry matter a day and the 1,600 lb. cows require 30 lb. per day. What does three lb. of dry matter per day cost through a 90-day winter? On average, these cows will wean similar weighted calves. This is a reminder that the most profitable cows don't necessarily wean the heaviest calves.

After finding ways to reduce costs, maximizing product within those costs is essential. The next step requires a focus on reproductive efficiency. Culling open females while simultaneously breeding fertility in the herd is a must for improved revenue in the form of pounds weaned per cow exposed.

When factoring in number of cows exposed, a 500-lb. weaning average is really 450 lb. or 400 lb. for 90% and 80% calf crops. Although challenging,



operations should target 90% plus calf crops.

Step 3 for producers is selling a premium product with all the bells and whistles. Uniform calves marketed under a solid health and management protocol should improve profit.

Midwest Region

by Eric Bailey University of Missouri

baileyeric@missouri.edu

With all the uncertainties we have faced in the last 18 months, many are concerned about beef prices in the short term. That has led to questions about the "low-hanging fruit."

To me, cow-calf profitability always goes back to the balance of forage demand and forage availability. More than 50% of annual cow costs are feed-related. Many times, these costs are largely composed of purchased and raised (hay) feeds. If you're feeding hay for more than 100 days every winter, your cow herd likely has a greater forage demand than forage available. The least palatable option is to reduce stocking rate.

More realistically, your cows have gotten bigger over time and require more feed. Final bodyweight of cattle in the feedlot has increased by more than 200 lb. in the last 20 years, yet only 30% of the variation in final bodyweight can be explained by increased days on feed. We are making bigger cattle than we used to. Yet, an interesting experiment out of Oklahoma State University (OSU) a few years ago showed that natural cattle (no hormones, implants or beta agonists) were 130 lb. lighter at harvest than those fed using the aforementioned technologies. We can make bigger feedlot cattle without keeping big cows around.

My advice is simple. The most productive cows will wean close to half of their bodyweight in calves each year. Try to find any cow that is weaning less than 40% of her bodyweight and cull immediately.

When you're selecting genetics, try to select for maternal traits and moderate-sized cattle. Your bottom line will be happier with a herd of cows weaning half their bodyweight each year, rather than a third of their bodyweight; even if the difference is not readily apparent. Cows have gotten too big and often, cow size translates into increased cow costs rather than increased weaning weights.

