

Spring 2022 Sire Evaluation Report Forward

by American Angus Association staff

From a total of 298,186 sires with progeny records in the American Angus Association database Dec. 3, 2021, the Spring 2022 Sire Evaluation Report lists 2,299 sires with the following qualifications.

1. The sire must have at least 35 yearling progeny weights in proper contemporary groups on Angus Herd Improvement Records (AHIR®).
2. The sire must have a yearling accuracy value of at least 0.40.
3. The sire must have had at least five calves recorded in the American Angus Association Herd Book since Jan. 1, 2020.

The Young Sire Supplement lists 2,012 bulls born after Jan. 1, 2018, that have at least 10 progeny weaning weights on AHIR and have a weaning accuracy of at least 0.30.

The American Angus Association takes reasonable research and editing measures to ensure the quality of the genetic prediction analysis and other information made available in this report. However, the American Angus Association does not guarantee or assume responsibility for the accuracy, timeliness, correctness, or completeness of information available in this report. The information presented here should not be

considered or represented to be a measure of the actual value of the animal or its progeny or a guarantee of performance. Any conclusions users draw from the information presented here are their own and are not to be attributed to the American Angus Association.

The American Angus Association has available upon request additional booklets explaining expected progeny differences (EPDs) and national cattle evaluation (NCE) procedures.

Editor's note: To view the latest Sire Evaluation Report online, visit www.angus.org/nce/sireevaluation

How to Read the Report

Each bull listed in this report is comparable to every other bull in the database. The analysis takes into account only the differences expressed in each herd in which the bulls were used. For example, Bull A has a weaning EPD of +60 pounds (lb.) and Bull B has a weaning EPD of +50 lb. If you randomly mate these bulls in your herd, you could expect Bull A's calves to weigh, on average, 10 lb. more at weaning than Bull B's progeny (60 – 50 = 10).

Accuracy (ACC) is the reliability that can be placed on the EPD. An accuracy of close to 1.0 indicates higher reliability. Accuracy is impacted by the number of progeny and ancestral records included in the analysis.

Expected progeny difference (EPD) is the prediction of how future progeny of each animal are expected to perform relative to the progeny of other animals listed in the database. EPDs are expressed in units of

measure for the trait, plus or minus. Interim EPDs may appear for young animals when their performance is yet to be incorporated into the American Angus Association NCE procedures.

This EPD will be preceded by an "I," and may or may not include the animal's own performance record for a particular trait, depending on its availability, appropriate contemporary grouping, or data edits needed for NCE.

EXPECTED PROGENY DIFFERENCES AND \$VALUES																													
SIRE STATISTICS		PRODUCTION							MANAGEMENT				MATERNAL							CARCASS							VALUES		
		CEW ACC	BW ACC	WW ACC	YW ACC	RADG ACC	DMI ACC	YH ACC	SC ACC	Dec ACC	Claw ACC	Angle ACC	PAP ACC	HP ACC	CEM ACC	MIR H ACC	MIR M ACC	MIR A ACC	SEN ACC	CW ACC	Marb ACC	RE ACC	Fat ACC	CGrp CPrng	UGrp UPrng	SM SW	SI SF	SB SC	
ANIMAL NAME		+18	+2.4	+58	+88	+16	+27	+3	+1.06	+6	+50	+51	+1.14	+13.1	+18	+23	2681	+19	+3	+37	+55	+22	+017	17	5	+45	+60	+95	
9876543251 07/08/80		.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.85	.85	.43	.80	.95	.99	11634	.98	.99	.89	.90	.88	.88	51	18	+60	+29	+168	

Disclaimer: The data contained in the *Angus Sire Evaluation Report* was compiled from AHIR® records submitted by Angus breeders. Every effort has been made to accurately present the information herein; however, the American Angus Association® makes no representation or warranty with respect to the accuracy of the data or the fitness for a particular purpose. The American Angus Association assumes no responsibility for the use or interpretation of information on the animals included in this program.

The Expected Progeny Differences (EPDs) and Dollar Values (\$Values) presented in this report have meaning only when compared to the EPDs and \$Values of other animals in the database. The EPDs and \$Values should not be considered or represented to have independent value apart from such comparisons. Thus, the \$Values should not be considered or represented to be a prediction of the actual value of the animal or its progeny in the marketplace. The EPDs and \$Values are prediction estimates only and should not be considered or represented to be a guarantee of progeny performance. A variety of factors will impact actual progeny performance, including the dam and environmental factors. The EPDs and \$Values are sensitive to the accuracy of the data provided by the members, and the \$Values are further dependent upon the assumptions for industry-relevant components used in the calculation of the \$Values.

Continued on page 124

Production traits

Calving ease direct (CED)

is expressed as a difference in percentage of unassisted births, with a higher value indicating greater calving ease in first-calf heifers. It predicts the average difference in ease with which a sire's calves will be born when he is bred to first-calf heifers.

Birth weight (BW), expressed in pounds, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit birth weight to his progeny compared to that of other sires.

Weaning weight (WW), expressed in pounds, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit weaning growth to his progeny compared to that of other sires.

Yearling weight (YW), expressed in pounds, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit yearling growth to his progeny compared to that of other sires.

Residual average daily gain (RADG), expressed in pounds per day, is a predictor of a sire's genetic ability for postweaning gain in future progeny compared to that of other sires, given a constant amount of feed consumed.

Dry-matter intake (DMI), expressed in pounds per day, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit feed intake during the postweaning phase to his progeny compared to that of other sires.

Yearling height (YH), expressed in inches, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit yearling height compared to that of other sires.

Scrotal circumference (SC), expressed in centimeters, is a predictor of a sire's ability to transmit scrotal size compared to that of other sires.

Management traits

Docility (Doc) is expressed as a difference in yearling cattle

temperament, with a higher value indicating more favorable docility. It predicts the average difference of progeny from a sire in comparison with another sire's calves. In herds where temperament problems are not an issue, this expected difference would not be realized.

Claw set (Claw) is expressed in units of claw-set score, with a lower EPD being more favorable, indicating a sire will produce progeny with more ideal claw set. The ideal claw set is toes that are symmetrical, even and appropriately spaced.

Foot angle (Angle) is expressed in units of foot-angle score, with a lower EPD being more favorable, indicating a sire will produce progeny with more ideal foot angle. The ideal is a 45-degree angle at the pastern joint with appropriate toe length and heel depth.

Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) is expressed in millimeters of Mercury (mmHg), with a lower EPD being more favorable, indicating a sire should produce progeny with a lower PAP score. PAP score is an indicator of susceptibility to high-altitude disease commonly experienced at elevations greater than 5,500 feet. Selection for this trait aims to improve the genetic potential for a sire's progeny to have lower PAP scores and thus a lower chance of contracting high-altitude disease, increasing the environmental adaptability of cattle living in mountain areas.

Maternal traits

Heifer pregnancy (HP) is a selection tool to increase the probability or chance of a sire's daughters becoming pregnant as heifers during a normal breeding season. A higher EPD value is more favorable, and the EPD is reported in percentage units.

Calving ease maternal (CEM)

is expressed as a difference in percentage of unassisted births with a higher value indicating greater calving ease in first-calf daughters. It predicts the average ease with which a sire's daughters will calve as first-calf heifers when compared to daughters of other sires.

Maternal milk (Milk), expressed in pounds of calf weaned, is a predictor of a sire's genetic merit for milk and mothering ability as expressed in his daughters compared to daughters of other sires. In other words, it is the part of a calf's weaning weight attributed to milk and mothering ability.

MkH indicates the number of herds from which daughters are reported as having progeny weaning weight records included in the analysis.

MkD indicates the number of daughters that have progeny weaning weight records included in the analysis.

Mature weight (MW), expressed in pounds, is a predictor of the difference in mature weight of daughters of a sire compared to the daughters of other sires.

Mature height (MH), expressed in inches, is a predictor of the difference in mature height of a sire's daughters compared to daughters of other sires.

Cow energy value (\$EN), expressed in dollar savings per cow per year, assesses differences in cow energy requirements as an expected dollar savings difference in daughters of sires. A larger value is more favorable when comparing two animals (more dollars saved on feed energy expenses). Components for computing the cow \$EN savings difference include lactation energy requirements and energy costs associated with differences in mature cow size.

Carcass traits

Carcass weight (CW), expressed in pounds, is a predictor of the difference in hot carcass weight of a sire's progeny compared to progeny of other sires.

Marbling (Marb), expressed as a fraction of USDA marbling score, is a predictor of the difference in marbling of a sire's progeny compared to progeny of other sires.

Ribeye area (RE), expressed in square inches, is a predictor of the difference in ribeye area of a sire's progeny compared to progeny of other sires.

Fat thickness (Fat), expressed in inches, is a predictor of the difference in external fat thickness at the 12th rib (as measured between the 12th and 13th ribs) of a sire's progeny compared to progeny of other sires.

Group/progeny (CGrp/CProg and UGrp/UProg) reflects the number of contemporary groups and the number of carcass and ultrasound progeny included in the analysis.

\$Value indexes

An economic selection index, or \$Value index, allows change in several different traits at once pertaining to a specific breeding objective. The \$Value is an estimate of how future progeny of each sire are expected to perform, on average, compared to progeny of other sires if the sires were randomly mated to cows and if calves were exposed to the same environment.

\$Maternal Weaned Calf Value (\$M), an index, expressed in dollars per head, predicts profitability differences from conception to weaning with the underlying breeding objective assuming that individuals retain their own replacement females within herd and sell the rest of the cull female and all male progeny as feeder calves.

\$Weaned Calf Value (\$W), an index, expressed in dollars per head, predicts profitability differences in progeny due to genetics from birth to weaning.

\$Feedlot Value (\$F), an index, expressed in dollars per head, predicts profitability differences in progeny due to genetics for postweaning feedlot merit compared to the progeny of other sires.

\$Grid Value (\$G), an index, expressed in dollars per carcass, predicts profitability differences in progeny due to genetics for carcass grid merit compared to progeny of other sires.

\$Beef Value (\$B), a terminal index, expressed in dollars per carcass, predicts profitability differences in progeny due to genetics for postweaning and carcass traits.

\$Combined Value (\$C) is an index, expressed in dollars per head, which includes all traits that make up both Maternal Weaned Calf Value (\$M) and Beef Value (\$B) with the objective that commercial producers will replace 25% of their breeding females in the first generation and 20% per year thereafter with replacement heifers retained within their own herd. The remaining cull heifer and steer progeny are then assumed to be sent to the feedlot where the producers retain ownership of those cattle and sell them on a quality-based carcass-merit grid. EPDs directly influencing a combined index: calving ease direct (CED) and maternal (CEM), weaning weight (WW), yearling weight (YW), maternal milk (Milk), heifer pregnancy (HP), docility (DOC), mature cow weight (MW), foot angle (Angle), claw set (Claw), dry-matter intake (DMI), marbling (Marb), carcass weight (CW), ribeye area (RE), and fat thickness (Fat).

Trait Descriptions

Calving Ease

Calving ease. Heifer calving ease expected progeny differences (EPDs) were calculated using a multi-trait animal model including birth weight and calving score data. The result is a heifer calving ease direct and a heifer calving ease maternal EPD, as defined below.

Calving ease direct (CED): Calving ease direct EPD is expressed as a difference in percentage of unassisted births, with a higher value indicating greater calving ease in first-calf heifers. It predicts the average difference in ease with which a sire's calves will be born when the sire is bred to first-calf heifers.

Calving ease maternal (CEM): Calving ease maternal EPD is expressed as a difference in percentage unassisted births with a higher value indicating greater calving ease in first-calf daughters. It predicts the average ease with which a sire's daughters will calve as first-calf heifers when compared to daughters of other sires.

Growth

Birth weight/weaning weight/yearling weight/maternal milk. Growth traits were evaluated together in a multi-trait model. As it is recommended for the evaluation of maternally influenced traits, a direct genetic effect, a maternal genetic effect and a maternal permanent environmental effect were fitted for birth and weaning weights. Postweaning gain was not considered to be maternally influenced; therefore, the direct genetic effect was the only random effect fitted. Yearling weight EPDs were calculated from the EPDs for weaning weight direct and postweaning gain. The evaluation includes individual weights on embryo

Continued on page 126

transfer calves out of registered Angus recipient females, provided any other national cattle NCE requirements for edited data are met.

Residual average daily gain and dry-matter intake. The steps to generate the components needed to calculate the residual average daily gain (RADG) EPD include a comprehensive genetic evaluation of multiple phenotypic traits, including the phenotypic feed intake data collected on individual animals through research and tests. Also, the dry-matter intake (DMI) genomic predictions are used as an indicator trait in the intake evaluation process. The resulting feed intake genetic component from the multi-trait animal model analysis is used to calculate RADG. The genetic RADG EPD reflects composition-constant genetic potential for growth given a constant amount of feed. It characterizes postweaning gain among animals given the same amount of feed consumed. RADG is presented in pounds per day, with a higher value being more favorable. DMI, expressed in pounds per day, is a predictor of difference in transmitting ability for feed intake during the postweaning phase, compared to that of other sires.

Yearling height and scrotal evaluations. Yearling height and scrotal circumference traits are analyzed separately using a multi-trait animal model in the genetic evaluation. Both the height and scrotal evaluations include genetically correlated measures for yearling weight and any available genomic results. Yearling height EPDs are reported in inches and are reported on bulls and heifers at or near a year of age. Scrotal circumference EPDs, generated from scrotal data collected on yearling Angus bulls, are presented in centimeters.

Foot score evaluations

Two scores for claw set and foot angle are recorded on a 1-to-9 scale, with 5 being ideal. Both foot score traits are moderately heritable. Even though the performance database is assembled using all scores (1-9) submitted, only scores falling into the 5 through 9 categories are used in the genetic evaluation for claw set and foot angle. Therefore, a lower or more negative EPD indicates a sire that is better able to produce progeny with more ideal feet. Claw set and foot angle EPDs are represented in units of foot score. Producers can submit foot scores into the database on cattle as early as yearling age and are encouraged to submit scores on mature females as more variation in the traits is prevalent at older ages.

Pulmonary arterial pressure

Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) is an indicator for animals with lower risk of developing high-altitude disease (HAD), which in most cases results in congestive right heart failure. Researchers and veterinarians at Colorado State University (CSU) have been studying HAD, more commonly known as brisket disease, and its onset for decades and have developed PAP tests in order to select animals to avoid pulmonary hypertension.

This disease, most commonly found in cattle living at elevations of 5,500 ft. or greater, is a result of cattle living in hypoxic environments challenging heart and lung function. Symptoms of the disease include lethargy, diarrhea, weakness, brisket edema, right heart failure and eventual death. High-altitude PAP predicts the genetic differences in PAP score with lower EPDs being more favorable.

A lower PAP EPD predicts a sire should produce progeny with lower pulmonary arterial pressures, decreasing the risk of contracting

HAD, which is desirable. It is also important to remember a PAP EPD is not a replacement for taking scores on cattle living at elevation. An animal may have good genetics to pass onto the next generation, but due to a life event, bovine respiratory disease (BRD) for instance, their respiratory system may be damaged, causing them to lack the viability to survive at high altitudes.

Think of PAP in terms of scrotal size. Bulls may have the genetic potential to pass along larger scrotal size genetics, but scrotal (SC) EPDs are not a replacement for breeding soundness exams (sometimes referred to as a BSE). Producers will not send a bull out without conducting a BSE, no matter how good his SC EPD is. The EPD can be valuable to select parents for the next generation with less risk. However, if a sire is to be taken to higher elevations to live, they should be tested themselves before doing so.

For more information see *Selection Tools for Pulmonary Arterial Pressure* at <https://www.angus.org/Nce/documents/PapResearchReport05262020.pdf>

Docility

Yearling temperament scores were used to calculate an EPD for docility. Four categories were used, for scores 1, 2, 3 and the combined category of scores 4, 5 and 6. The docility EPD is presented as a percentage, where a higher value is considered more favorable in terms of docile temperament. Since this is a threshold trait, herds that exhibit no problems in temperament will realize no improvement when selecting for favorable docility EPDs.

Heifer pregnancy

The heifer pregnancy (HP) EPD is designed to characterize differences among sires in the Angus breed for daughters' heifer pregnancy. When comparing two sires based on their

heifer pregnancy EPDs (reported in units of percentage), a higher-EPD sire would be expected to have daughters with a greater probability or chance of becoming pregnant than a sire with the lower EPD.

A performance database is assembled using available breeding information on first-calf heifers. A heifer's breeding record is coded as a success or failure of being pregnant based on any pregnancy-check data or calving information recorded and submitted by the breeder. The heifer contemporary group is defined as breeding herd, breeding year, season and synchronization code. Edited data on heifers are analyzed in a threshold analysis.

Mature cow size

Mature weight (MW) and height (MH) are highly heritable traits, indicating selection for these traits can be effective. The mature size genetic evaluation is a multi-trait animal model using repeated measures on cows from yearling age throughout their lifetime.

A BCS must be included with the cow weight in order for data to be utilized to calculate mature size EPDs in the NCE. Any cow weights submitted without a body condition score are not used. For more information on body condition score, go to www.cowbcs.info.

As a reminder for weaning time, cow weights with a body condition score need to be taken ± 45 days of the calf's weaning measure date. Cow hip heights may also be captured at this time. It is important to collect this information after the cow has weaned her first calf, and then again in subsequent years.

EPDs are generated for mature weight and mature height based on these varying amounts of performance information and

pedigree relationships. The resulting EPDs are representative of the genetics for Angus cow size at a projected 6 years of age.

Carcass

Carcass EPDs are calculated from an integrated analysis of the Beef Improvement Records carcass, ultrasound, growth (weaning weight) and genomic profile databases. The weekly genetic evaluations result in a single EPD, respectively, for carcass weight, marbling score, ribeye area and fat thickness. The units of measure for EPDs are in carcass trait format — marbling score, carcass weight in pounds, carcass ribeye in square inches, and carcass fat thickness in inches. Growth (weaning weight), carcass, genomic and pedigree databases are simultaneously combined into one set of genomic-enhanced carcass EPDs for Angus breeding programs.

The carcass and ultrasound data contributing to the evaluation are described in Table 1 and Table 2 with average adjusted measurements.

Ultrasound images incorporated into the carcass EPDs were collected by field technicians certified by the Ultrasound Guidelines Council (UGC). The images were interpreted through one of the American Angus Association's authorized ultrasound processing labs by UGC-certified lab technicians.

Angus \$Values

Dollar value indexes, or \$Values, are a tool used to select for several traits at once based on a specific breeding objective. An economic index approach takes into account genetic and economic values, as well as the relationships between traits to select for profit. An index is challenging to develop, but the

Table 1: Angus phenotypic averages of steer and heifer carcasses

Age at harvest, days	330 < Age < 480		481 < Age < 799	
Heifers:	Avg.	SD ¹	Avg.	SD
Avg. age at harvest, days	437	30	557	60
Adj. ² carcass wt., lb.	717	89	722	101
Adj. fat thickness, in.	0.61	0.19	0.56	0.19
Adj. ribeye area, sq. in.	12.18	1.42	12.26	1.58
Adj. marbling score	6.84	1.33	6.47	1.42
No. of heifers	6,477		8,192	
Steers:	Avg.	SD ¹	Avg.	SD
Avg. age at harvest, days	438	26	526	45
Adj. carcass wt., lb.	804	87	790	104
Adj. fat thickness, in.	0.58	0.18	0.55	0.19
Adj. ribeye area, sq. in.	12.71	1.38	12.80	1.51
Adj. marbling score	6.29	1.31	5.99	1.31
No. of steers	83,246		32,330	

¹SD = standard deviation. ²Carcasses adjusted to 480 days of age at harvest.

Table 2: Yearling Angus live-animal and ultrasound measures

Trait	Bulls		Heifers		Steers	
	Avg.	SD ¹	Avg.	SD	Avg.	SD
Age, days	371	26	389	30	402	38
Gain, lb./day	2.91	0.70	1.51	0.52	2.82	0.73
Adj. scan wt., lb.	1,119	141	867	113	1,103	168
Adj. %IMF, %	3.84	1.11	4.84	1.40	4.97	1.44
Adj. ribeye area, sq. in.	12.62	1.90	9.78	1.74	12.35	2.28
Adj. 12th-rib fat thickness, in.	0.28	0.10	0.26	0.11	0.40	0.15
Adj. rump fat thickness, in.	0.30	0.11	0.30	0.12	0.41	0.15
Total animals	1,287,308		844,799		13,920	

¹SD = standard deviation

Table 3: USDA quality grading system and marbling score

Quality Grade	Amount of Marbling	Numerical Score
Prime*	Abundant	10.0-10.9
Prime	Moderately abundant	9.0-9.9
Prime-	Slightly abundant	8.0-8.9
Choice*	Moderate	7.0-7.9
Choice	Modest	6.0-6.9
Choice-	Small	5.0-5.9
Select	Slight	4.0-4.9
Standard	Traces	3.0-3.9
Standard	Practically devoid	2.0-2.9
Utility	Devoid	1.0-1.9

As a review, the scoring system for marbling and its relationship to the USDA Quality Grading System is defined in Table 3. For a carcass to meet *Certified Angus Beef*® (CAB) standards, it must have a Modest (average Choice) or higher marbling degree, be of "A" maturity (the most youthful classification for beef), have a 10- to 16-square-inch ribeye, 1 inch or less fat thickness, less than 1,050-pound hot carcass weight and a fine to medium marbling texture. For more details, go to www.cabcattle.com.

Continued on page 128

end result is easy to use, adding the simplicity and convenience of a multi-trait approach.

\$Values provide the opportunity for commercial producers to select for profitability given a specific breeding objective. Maternal weaned calf value (\$M) and weaned calf value (\$W) are expressed in dollars per head predicting preweaning profitability differences among different sire groups. Cow energy value (\$EN) provides an opportunity to fine-tune the cow herd for costs associated with maternal milk and cow size. In addition, feedlot value (\$F), grid value (\$G) and beef value (\$B) are economic index values to assist commercial beef producers in selecting individuals profitable for terminal traits, including feedlot gain and carcass merit. Combined value (\$C), expressed in dollars per head, includes all 15 traits involved in \$M and \$B.

\$Values encompass the revenue generated from genetically derived outputs and associated costs (expenses) from required inputs. \$Values only have meaning when used in comparing the relative merit or the ranking of two individuals. Each sire listed in this report is comparable to every other sire.

The \$Values are sensitive to the assumptions for industry-relevant components used in calculating the indexes. Angus Genetics Inc. (AGI), the American Angus Association and Certified Angus Beef (CAB) LLC, alongside industry-leader CattleFax, work together to annually update these economic assumptions, which are derived from the previous seven-year market trend rolling average.

As with EPDs, variation in \$Values between animals indicates expected differences in the relative value of progeny if random mating is assumed. Thus, a \$Value has meaning only when used in comparison

to the \$Value of another animal.

\$Maternal Weaned Calf Value (\$M), \$Weaned Calf (\$W), and Cow \$Energy (\$EN)

Maternal weaned calf value (\$M)

\$M is the most maternally focused selection index currently available to Angus members and commercial users of Angus genetics. \$M, expressed in dollars per head, aims to predict profitability differences in progeny due to genetics from conception to weaning. \$M is built off of a self-replacing herd model where commercial cattlemen replace 25% of their breeding females in the first generation and 20% in subsequent generations. Remaining cull females and all male progeny are sold as feeder calves.

\$M places greater emphasis on the cost side of commercial cow-calf production than \$W. Increased selection pressure on \$M aims to decrease overall mature cow size while maintaining weaning weights consistent with today's production. Under \$M selection, less emphasis is placed on maternal milk, while heifer pregnancy and docility have an increased emphasis, and foot traits start to improve. The index finds cattle that are most profitable when producers receive no economic benefit for traits affecting postweaning performance.

For example, if Bull A has a \$M of +75 and Bull B has a \$M of +55 and both are mated to a comparable set of females, one would expect, on average, for Bull A's progeny to be \$20 more profitable per head for the cow-calf producer.

EPDs directly influencing the index include: calving ease direct, calving ease maternal, weaning weight, maternal milk, heifer pregnancy, docility and mature weight, as well as foot angle and claw set.

Weaned calf value (\$W)

\$W provides the expected dollar-per-head difference in future progeny preweaning performance from birth to weaning. \$W assumes producers retain 20% of their female progeny for replacements and sell the rest of their cull female and male progeny as feeder calves. Over time, increased selection pressure on \$W will increase weaning and yearling weight traits while also continuing to increase mature cow size. As with any \$Value, \$W only has meaning when used in comparing the relative merit or ranking of two individuals.

EPDs directly influencing \$W include: birth weight, weaning weight, maternal milk and mature cow size.

Weaned steer calf	\$183 per cwt.
Weaned heifer calf	\$167 per cwt.
Hay price (alfalfa)	\$169 per ton
Hay price (other)	\$129 per ton
Cow/heifer herd mix	80/20

Cow energy value (\$EN)

A cow energy value (\$EN) is available to assess differences in cow energy requirements, expressed in dollars per cow per year, as an expected dollar savings difference in future daughters of sires. A larger value is more favorable when comparing two animals (more dollars saved on feed energy expenses). Components for computing the cow \$EN savings difference include lactation energy requirements and energy costs associated with differences in mature cow size.

Cow Energy (\$EN) Savings, \$/cow/year	+16	Cow Energy (\$EN) Savings, \$/cow/year	+5
---	-----	---	----

In the above example, the expected difference in cow energy savings per cow per year for future daughters of the two animals is +11 [+16 - (+5) = +11].

Feedlot value (\$F), grid value (\$G) and beef value (\$B)

\$F, \$G and \$B are postweaning bioeconomic \$Values, expressed in dollars per head, to assist commercial beef producers by adding simplicity to genetic selection decisions. The \$Values were developed primarily to serve as selection tools for commercial bull buyers.

\$Values are reported in dollars per head with a higher value indicating greater profitability:

	\$F	\$G	\$B
Example	+82	+44	+126

Although feedlot and carcass merit are important components of the beef production chain, it should be stressed to producers that \$F, \$G and \$B are not to be used as a single selection criterion, since the indexes only encompass postweaning and carcass performance.

\$F, \$G and \$B values incorporate available gain, feed intake and carcass EPDs, converted into economic terms, incorporating industry-relevant components for feedlot performance and carcass merit. The base components used to calculate \$Values for any registered animal are:

Feedlot assumptions:

Calf-fed/Yearling-fed	75/25
Time on feed (steer), calf-fed/yearling-fed	240/168 days
Yearling steer	\$155 per cwt.
Yearling heifer	\$148 per cwt.
Fed steer, dressed delivered	\$199 per cwt. carcass
Ration cost	\$171 per ton

Grid assumptions:

Quality components:

Prime premium (above Choice)	\$20.05 per cwt.
CAB premium (above Choice)	\$5.31 per cwt.
Choice-Select spread	\$-13.23 per cwt.
Standard discount	\$-37.23 per cwt.

Yield components:

YG 1 premium	\$5.83 per cwt.
YG 2-2.5 premium	\$3.14 per cwt.
YG 2.5-3 premium	\$2.87 per cwt.
YG 4 discount	\$-13.20 per cwt.
YG 5 discount	\$-19.32 per cwt.
Industry avg. steer carcass weight	885 lb. per cwt.
Heavyweight discount (900-1,000 lb.)	\$-8.33 per cwt.
Heavyweight discount (1,000-1,050 lb.)	\$-15.11 per cwt.
Heavyweight discount (1,050+ lb.)	\$-33.40 per cwt.

Beef value (\$B)

\$B facilitates simultaneous multi-

trait genetic selection for feedlot and carcass merit. \$B is a terminal index representing the expected average dollar-per-carcass difference in the progeny postweaning performance and carcass value compared to progeny of other sires. This index assumes commercial producers wean all male and female progeny, retain ownership of these animals through the feedlot phase and market these animals on a quality-based carcass grid. EPDs directly influencing \$B include: weaning and yearling weight, dry-matter intake, carcass weight, marbling, ribeye area and fat.

\$B only has meaning when two animals are compared against one another. For instance, if Bull A has a \$B of +90 and Bull B has a \$B of +120, one would expect, on average, the progeny of Bull B to be \$30 (\$120 - \$90 = \$30) more profitable per carcass due to feedlot gain and carcass merit, assuming both bulls were randomly mated to comparable females.

The resulting \$B value is not designed to be driven by one factor, such as quality, red meat yield or weight. Instead, it is a dynamic result of the application of commercial market values to Angus genetics for both feedlot and carcass merit.

Feedlot value (\$F)

\$F, an index value expressed in dollars per head, is the expected average difference in future progeny performance for postweaning merit compared to progeny of other sires. \$F incorporates postweaning gain and carcass weight along with feed efficiency traits, genomic information and trait interrelationships. The underlying objective assumes commercial producers will retain ownership of cattle through the feedlot phase and sell fed cattle on a carcass weight basis with no consideration of premiums or

discounts for quality and yield grade.

Grid value (\$G)

\$G, an index value expressed in dollars per carcass, is the expected average difference in future progeny performance for carcass grid merit compared to progeny of other sires. The \$G combines quality grade and yield grade attributes, and is calculated for animals with carcass EPDs. A seven-year rolling average is used to establish typical industry economic values for quality grade and yield grade schedules. Quality grade premiums are specified for Prime, CAB and Choice carcasses, as well as Select and Standard discounts. Yield grade premiums are incorporated for Yield Grade (YG) 1 and YG 2 (high-yielding carcasses), with discounts for YG 4 and YG 5 (low red meat yields).

The summation of \$F and \$G equates to \$B.

Combined value (\$C)

\$C, expressed in dollars per head, includes all 15 traits involved in \$M and \$B. The breeding objective, which drives the \$C model, is built around a 500-head commercial cow herd that replaces 25% of its breeding females in the first generation and 20% per year thereafter with replacement heifers retained within its own herd. In addition, this same herd retains ownership on cull heifers and steer mates through the feedlot and markets those cattle on a quality-based carcass merit grid.

EPDs directly influencing the combined index include CED, CEM, WW, YW, Milk, HP, DOC, MW, Angle, Claw, DMI, Marb, CW, RE and Fat.

\$C is a linear combination of \$M and \$B. The simple formula to calculate \$C on any animal is $\$C = \M

Continued on page 130

+ (1.297 × \$B). In the example below, Bull A and Bull B are compared head-to-head. As a result, Bull A and Bull B should produce progeny with similar profitability if heifers are being retained as replacements and remaining calves are fed and marketed on a carcass merit grid.

	\$M	\$B	\$C
Bull A	+70	+127	+235
Bull B	+51	+140	+233
Difference		+2	

The idea of combining maternal and terminal traits into one economic selection index allows a producer to make genetic progress in several different traits at once while accounting for the relationships among these traits, which may pull costs and revenues in different directions. For example, continuing to increase WW, YW and CW results in more saleable product, increasing revenue; however, it also drives up input costs across other segments of the operation. Mature cow size, for instance, is positively correlated to these three growth

traits. As increased selection pressure on weaning, yearling and carcass weight continues, mature cow size will increase, resulting in higher maintenance energy requirements increasing costs. \$C recognizes these types of relationships and targets an optimal level of genetic change in each of these traits that results in maximum profitability.

Availability of \$Values

\$Value Search

\$Values on individual animals may be viewed at www.angus.org. Members and affiliates can also access \$Values through AAA Login.

Accuracy and Associated Possible Change

The following table below lists the possible change values associated with each EPD trait at the various accuracy levels. Possible change is expressed as “+” or “-” units of EPD and can be described as a measure of expected change or

potential deviation between the EPD and the “true” progeny difference. This confidence range depends on the standard error of prediction for an EPD. For a given accuracy, about two-thirds of the time an animal should have a “true” progeny difference within the range of the EPD plus or minus the possible change value.

For example, a sire with an accuracy of 0.60 for a marbling EPD of +0.50 is expected to have his “true” progeny value falling within ±0.12 marbling score EPD (ranging between +0.38 and +0.62) about two-thirds of the time.

With the conservative approach taken with respect to heritabilities in the Angus evaluation, actual EPD changes of animals within the population are much less than statistics would indicate.

Accuracy	Production							Management					Maternal				Carcass				
	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	CW	Marb	RE	Fat
.05	9.7	2.55	14.9	24.3	.065	.763	.47	.76	16.7	.14	.12	2.15	7.7	10.4	9.5	38	.52	20	.29	.30	.041
.10	9.2	2.42	14.1	23.0	.061	.723	.44	.72	15.8	.13	.12	2.04	7.3	9.9	9.0	36	.49	19	.28	.28	.039
.15	8.7	2.28	13.3	21.7	.058	.682	.42	.68	14.9	.12	.11	1.93	6.9	9.3	8.5	34	.46	18	.26	.27	.037
.20	8.2	2.15	12.6	20.5	.054	.642	.39	.64	14.0	.11	.11	1.81	6.5	8.8	8.0	32	.43	17	.25	.25	.034
.25	7.7	2.02	11.8	19.2	.051	.602	.37	.60	13.2	.11	.10	1.70	6.1	8.2	7.5	30	.41	16	.23	.23	.032
.30	7.2	1.88	11.0	17.9	.048	.562	.34	.56	12.3	.10	.09	1.59	5.7	7.7	7.0	28	.38	15	.22	.22	.030
.35	6.7	1.75	10.2	16.6	.044	.522	.32	.52	11.4	.09	.09	1.47	5.3	7.1	6.5	26	.35	14	.20	.20	.028
.40	6.2	1.61	9.4	15.4	.041	.482	.29	.48	10.5	.09	.08	1.36	4.9	6.6	6.0	24	.33	13	.18	.19	.026
.45	5.6	1.48	8.6	14.1	.037	.442	.27	.44	9.7	.08	.07	1.25	4.5	6.0	5.5	22	.30	12	.17	.17	.024
.50	5.1	1.34	7.9	12.8	.034	.401	.25	.40	8.8	.07	.07	1.13	4.1	5.5	5.0	20	.27	11	.15	.16	.022
.55	4.6	1.21	7.1	11.5	.031	.361	.22	.36	7.9	.06	.06	1.02	3.7	4.9	4.5	18	.24	10	.14	.14	.019
.60	4.1	1.08	6.3	10.2	.027	.321	.20	.32	7.0	.06	.05	0.91	3.3	4.4	4.0	16	.22	9	.12	.12	.017
.65	3.6	.94	5.5	9.0	.024	.281	.17	.28	6.1	.05	.05	0.79	2.9	3.8	3.5	14	.19	7	.11	.11	.015
.70	3.1	.81	4.7	7.7	.020	.241	.15	.24	5.3	.04	.04	0.68	2.4	3.3	3.0	12	.16	6	.09	.09	.013
.75	2.6	.67	3.9	6.4	.017	.201	.12	.20	4.4	.04	.03	0.57	2.0	2.7	2.5	10	.14	5	.08	.08	.011
.80	2.1	.54	3.1	5.1	.014	.161	.10	.16	3.5	.03	.03	0.45	1.6	2.2	2.0	8	.11	4	.06	.06	.009
.85	1.5	.40	2.4	3.8	.010	.120	.07	.12	2.6	.02	.02	0.34	1.2	1.6	1.5	6	.08	3	.05	.05	.006
.90	1.0	.27	1.6	2.6	.007	.080	.05	.08	1.8	.01	.01	0.23	.8	1.1	1.0	4	.05	2	.03	.03	.004
.95	.5	.13	.8	1.3	.003	.040	.02	.04	.9	.01	.01	0.11	.4	.5	.5	2	.03	1	.02	.02	.002

Across-Breed EPD Adjustment Factors

Researchers at the Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center (USMARC) in Clay Center, Neb., develop breed adjustment factors annually so EPD values can be compared across breeds. This process allows the estimation of across-breed EPDs, sometimes referred to as AB-EPDs.

The across-breed EPD concept was introduced in the late 1980s and continues to spark interest with commercial bull buyers using more than one breed of bull. This is mostly due to the fact that without adjustments, the within-breed EPDs cannot be used to directly compare animals of different breeds, since the values are typically computed separately for each breed.

Table 1 presents the most recent MARC adjustment factors that can be added to the EPDs of animals of different breeds, adjusting their EPD values to an Angus equivalent. The adjustment factors, given relative to an Angus equivalent of zero for each trait, take into account breed differences measured in the Germplasm Evaluation Project at USMARC, as well as differences in breed average EPDs and base year. Animals of various breeds can be compared on the same EPD scale, after adding the specific adjustment factor to EPDs produced in the most recent genetic evaluations of the representative breeds.

Use of these factors does not change differences in EPDs among bulls within a breed. However, it does affect differences among bulls of different breeds. The example in Table 2 illustrates EPDs for Angus and Simmental bulls after across-

Table 1: Adjustment factors to estimate across-breed EPDs

Breed	BW	WW	YW	Milk	Marb ^a	RE	Fat	CW
Angus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hereford	0.9	-16.6	-41.3	-11.1	-0.35	0.06	-0.076	-69.7
Red Angus	2.3	-21.3	-28.9	1.6	-0.11	0.29	-0.035	-7.2
Shorthorn	3.5	-23.1	-37.6	-4.9	-0.15	0.32	-0.039	-3.0
South Devon	3.1	-30.9	-57.9	2.6	-0.37	0.39	-0.042	2.2
Beefmaster	3.8	24.1	2.5	4.2				
Brahman	9.4	55.8	19.9	13.6	-0.69	0.11	-0.154	-33.9
Brangus	2.8	16.5	10.2	14.1				
Santa Gertrudis	4.9	39.7	35.1	17.5	-0.47	0.21	-0.074	-2.1
Braunvieh	2.1	-14.2	-40.6	-1.2	-0.63	1.17	-0.117	-38.9
Charolais	6.0	28.5	20.3	8.4	-0.33	0.80	-0.198	6.6
Chiangus	2.4	-23.6	-42.9	4.3	-0.40	0.53	-0.122	-26.1
Gelbvieh	3.2	-9.7	-17.2	7.1	-0.56	0.77	-0.112	-12.3
Limousin	1.7	-10.9	-35.4	-4.8	-0.39	0.61	-0.082	-4.5
Maine-Anjou	1.8	-28.5	-57.9	-7.6	-0.53	1.06	-0.169	-26.5
Salers	2.1	-17.7	-31.5	8.3	-0.78	0.53	-0.063	0.5
Simmental	1.7	-16.2	-25.5	-2.8	-0.19	0.50	-0.066	-4.5
Tarentaise	2.2	26.9	-8.1	11.1				

^aMarbling score units: 4.00 = SI⁹⁰; 5.00 = Sm⁹⁰

Source: U.S. Meat Animal Research Center.

Table 2: Example of using across-breed adjustment factors to convert noncomparable within-breed EPDs to comparable across-breed EPDs

		BW	WW	YW	Milk
Angus	AB adj. factors ¹ :	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bull #001	EPD ² :	2.8	56	83	20
	AB-EPD ³ :	2.8	56	83	20
Simmental	AB adj. factors ¹ :	1.7	-16.2	-25.5	-2.8
Bull #002	EPD ² :	1.8	68	101	22
	AB-EPD ³ :	3.5	51.8	75.5	19.2

¹AB adj. factors are the across-breed adjustment factors from Table 1.

²EPDs are the within-breed EPD values from the breed's genetic evaluation for the bull of interest.

³Across-breed EPDs after adjustment factors are applied to within-breed EPDs.

breed adjustment factors have been applied to estimate AB-EPDs. The AB-EPDs for Simmental Bull #002 are on an Angus-equivalent scale and can be directly compared with values for Angus Bull #001.

It is important to remember EPDs are not perfect when comparing bulls, even within a breed; therefore, AB-EPDs are somewhat less accurate when comparing animals of different breeds. AB-EPDs are most effective for selecting bulls of two or more breeds for use in systematic crossbreeding. When evaluating the

potential application of AB-EPDs as a tool for a particular breeding program, commercial cow-calf producers must first examine the needs of their individual operations. Producers must diligently review their breed choices and crossbreeding systems in order to provide the best sire selection match to cow genetic type, environment, feed resources and market targets.

Continued on page 132

Table 3: AHIR® Average Adjusted Weights and Measurements, By Year

YEAR	BIRTH WT		WEANING WT		YEARLING WT		YEARLING HT		SCROTAL Bulls
	Bulls	Heifers	Bulls	Heifers	Bulls	Heifers	Bulls	Heifers	
1972	69	65	477	425	847	621	44.0		
1973	68	65	476	425	857	638	44.0		
1974	69	65	478	427	855	630	44.1		
1975	69	65	475	427	866	642	44.7		
1976	70	65	493	440	884	661	44.1		
1977	72	67	500	446	881	657	45.8	42.5	
1978	73	68	499	445	882	663	46.1	43.5	
1979	73	68	508	453	901	674	47.1	44.7	
1980	74	69	518	463	922	693	47.7	45.1	
1981	75	70	530	474	926	692	48.0	45.7	36.4
1982	77	72	530	475	940	696	48.5	46.1	36.4
1983	78	73	534	480	938	703	48.6	46.5	35.8
1984	79	74	537	484	956	711	48.8	46.6	36.1
1985	80	75	554	498	978	730	49.3	47.2	36.4
1986	81	76	553	498	984	737	49.4	47.4	35.9
1987	81	76	572	516	1,010	762	50.0	48.1	36.1
1988	82	77	589	531	1,037	784	50.5	48.4	36.1
1989	83	78	599	542	1,059	797	50.3	48.6	36.0
1990	83	78	601	542	1,066	798	50.6	48.7	35.8
1991	83	78	599	539	1,067	796	50.6	48.5	35.7
1992	82	78	614	553	1,072	802	50.6	48.6	35.7
1993	82	78	611	551	1,077	802	50.4	48.6	35.6
1994	82	77	613	553	1,086	813	50.6	48.6	35.8
1995	82	77	610	551	1,081	798	50.4	48.4	35.7
1996	82	77	602	544	1,068	794	50.3	48.4	35.5
1997	82	77	612	554	1,087	809	50.3	48.3	35.7
1998	82	77	612	553	1,087	813	50.4	48.4	35.7
1999	82	77	623	564	1,115	832	50.5	48.6	35.9
2000	81	77	631	569	1,112	829	50.5	48.6	36.2
2001	82	77	628	567	1,120	840	50.6	48.8	36.1
2002	81	76	633	571	1,123	838	50.5	48.7	36.1
2003	81	76	639	578	1,132	848	50.5	48.8	36.2
2004	80	76	650	589	1,144	855	50.5	48.7	36.3
2005	80	75	649	587	1,147	860	50.5	48.7	36.3
2006	80	75	650	589	1,145	848	50.4	48.6	36.3
2007	80	75	643	584	1,136	844	50.3	48.3	36.4
2008	80	75	641	581	1,130	838	50.1	48.3	36.2
2009	79	75	646	584	1,129	839	50.0	48.2	36.2
2010	79	74	648	586	1,135	840	50.0	48.2	36.4
2011	79	74	646	583	1,139	844	49.9	48.2	36.3
2012	78	73	655	590	1,145	847	49.9	48.1	36.3
2013	78	74	652	588	1,147	845	50.0	48.1	36.4
2014	78	74	662	595	1,152	854	49.9	48.2	36.4
2015	78	73	661	594	1,153	854	50.0	48.3	36.4
2016	77	73	662	596	1,152	856	50.0	48.1	36.3
2017	78	73	665	598	1,159	859	49.9	48.2	36.3
2018	78	73	655	591	1,138	840	49.8	48.1	36.2
2019	78	73	650	586	1,134	840	49.8	48.1	36.2
2020	78	73	656	592	1,153	854	49.7	48.0	36.2
Averages	80	75	624	560	1,105	817	50.1	48.3	36.2

Table 4: EPD And \$Value Averages, Standard Deviations (Sd) And Minimum/Maximum

Trait	No. records	No. EPD	Avg.	SD	Min.	Max.
Production:						
Calving ease direct, %	1,814,831	11,234,465	3	6	-39	25
Birth weight, lb.	9,487,031	12,186,219	1.0	2.3	-12.7	16.0
Weaning direct, lb.	9,931,085	12,186,219	29	24	-83	126
Yearling weight, lb.	4,832,178	12,186,219	50	43	-142	226
Residual average						
daily gain, lb./day	31,953	1,838,190	.22	.06	-.13	.48
Dry-matter intake, lb.	31,953	1,838,190	.53	.71	-3.65	3.20
Yearling height, in.	1,052,914	2,971,405	.4	.4	-2.3	2.5
Scrotal circumference, cm	1,071,034	3,203,243	.59	.55	-3.76	3.89
Management:						
Docility, %	356,440	2,128,060	14	8	-48	43
Foot claw set, score	141,222	2,085,405	.49	.08	.02	1.00
Foot angle, score	124,791	2,085,405	.49	.07	.05	1.09
PAP, mmHg	20,235	1,624,976	1.12	1.39	-5.46	10.42
Maternal:						
Heifer pregnancy, %	132,719	1,793,732	10.9	3	-71	26.5
Calving ease maternal, %	1,814,831	11,234,465	6	5	-40	22
Maternal milk, lb.	9,931,085	12,186,219	19	8	-30	55
Mature weight, lb.	247,323	2,003,469	33	44	-197	216
Mature height, in.	125,399	2,003,469	.2	.5	-3.3	2.9
Carcass:						
Carcass weight, lb.	130,245	4,164,809	25	18	-76	127
Marbling score	130,245	4,155,095	.43	.28	-.88	2.21
Ribeye area, sq. in.	130,245	4,164,809	.35	.26	-.75	1.83
12th-rib fat thickness, in.	130,241	4,164,809	.008	.024	-.121	.177
Ultrasound intramuscular fat, %	2,194,999					
Ultrasound ribeye area, sq. in.	2,200,886					
Ultrasound fat thickness, in.	2,204,645					
Current sires¹						
	No. Indexes					
Maternal Wean Calf Value (\$M), \$/head	29,082	57	16	-38	125	
Wean Value (\$W), \$/head	29,304	59	18	-63	115	
Feedlot Value (\$F), \$/head	29,090	86	21	-22	173	
Grid Value (\$G), \$/head	26,964	44	16	-7	125	
Beef Value (\$B), \$/head	26,921	131	31	-16	249	
Combined Index (\$C), \$/head	26,904	227	45	-2	376	
Cow Energy (\$EN), savings, \$/cow/year	29,132	-15	15	-77	38	

¹Current sires have at least one calf recorded in the American Angus Association Herd Book within the past two years.

Table 5: Spring 2022 Breed Average EPD And \$Values

	Production								Management				Maternal					Carcass				\$Values						
	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
Current Sires ¹	+6	+1.2	+58	+103	+24	+88	+5	+80	+16	+50	+49	+118	+11.2	+8	+25	+55	+3	-15	+41	+53	+55	+012	+57	+59	+86	+44	+131	+227
Main Sires ²	+7	+1.0	+59	+105	+24	+87	+4	+82	+17	+49	+49	+114	+10.9	+8	+25	+52	+3	-14	+40	+53	+54	+015	+57	+61	+88	+44	+132	+227
Supplemental Sires ³	+7	+1.1	+66	+116	+26	+114	+6	+92	+19	+48	+48	+131	+12.2	+9	+27	+68	+4	-21	+50	+56	+62	+015	+63	+68	+92	+46	+139	+244
Current Dams ¹	+6	+1.4	+54	+94	+23	+71	+5	+74	+15	+50	+49	+108	+11.3	+8	+26	+46	+3	-11	+37	+51	+49	+012	+57	+55	+82	+42	+126	+220
Non-Parent Bulls ⁴	+6	+1.2	+59	+105	+25	+103	+6	+83	+17	+50	+49	+131	+11.8	+9	+26	+63	+4	-15	+46	+60	+60	+012	+61	+61	+86	+47	+135	+236
Non-Parent Cows ⁴	+6	+1.3	+59	+104	+25	+101	+6	+80	+17	+50	+49	+138	+11.5	+9	+26	+63	+4	-15	+47	+63	+62	+010	+61	+59	+86	+47	+136	+236

¹Current Sires and Dams — At least one calf record in herd book within the past two years. ²Main Sires — Sires that met the requirements of the most recent *American Angus Association Sire Evaluation Report*. ³Supplemental Sires — Young sires meeting the requirements for the *American Angus Association Sire Evaluation Report*. ⁴Non-Parents — Registered animals born in the last three years with no current progeny in the Angus National Cattle Evaluation.

Continued on page 134

Table 6: Angus Trait Heritabilities (on diagonal) and Genetic Correlations (on upper off diagonal)

Trait	CED	BW	WW	PG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	YW	UFAT	UIMF	UREA	FAT	MARB	REA	CW
Calving ease direct (CED)	0.19 ¹	-0.65 ²											-0.06											
Birth weight direct (BW)		0.46	0.29	0.29																				
Weaning direct (WW)			0.28	0.48	0.50										0.44	0.48	0.87	0.12		0.34	0.09		0.27	0.65
Postweaning gain (PG)				0.27	0.61	0.65	0.28																	
Dry-matter intake (DMI)					0.33																			
Yearling height (YH)						0.49										0.41	0.68							
Scrotal circumference (SC)							0.48																	
Docility (Doc)								0.44																
Foot Claw Set (Claw)									0.25															
Foot Claw Angle (Angle)										0.25														
Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP)											0.39													
Heifer pregnancy (HP)												0.15												
Calving ease maternal (CEM)													0.20											
Maternal milk (Milk)														0.12										
Mature weight (MW)															0.35	0.69								
Mature height (MH)																0.59								
Yearling weight (YW)																	0.42	0.07		0.33	-0.07		0.35	0.75
Ultrasound fat (UFAT)																		0.46		0.00	0.65		-0.35	-0.10
Ultrasound % intramuscular fat (UIMF)																			0.41			0.71		
Ultrasound ribeye area (UREA)																				0.39	-0.10		0.65	0.28
Fat thickness (FAT)																					0.33		-0.34	0.10
Marbling (MARB)																						0.48		
Ribeye area (REA)																							0.32	0.46
Carcass weight (CW)																								0.44

¹Heritability estimates are on the diagonal.²Upper off-diagonals are genetic correlations among traits.

Note: Symbols are used with a registration number to denote important information about an animal. An "F" following the symbol for a genetic condition means the animal has tested

free of the condition. A "C" following represents a carrier of the condition, an "A" represents an animal that is affected and a "P" represents an animal that is a potential carrier by pedigree.

The status for a bull listed in this *Spring 2022 Sire Evaluation Report* represents the status of that animal as of Dec. 3, 2021.

Symbol	Meaning
#	Pathfinder cow or Pathfinder sire
+	Embryo transfer calf
^	Cell clone
%	Split-ET
@	Clone-ET
*	Parentage qualified to both parents and the mating
AM	Arthrogryposis multiplex
CA	Contractural arachnodactyly
D2	PRKG2 gene mutation for dwarfism
DD	Developmental duplication

Symbol	Meaning
DM	Double muscling
DW	Dwarfism
HG	Horn gene
HI	Heterochromia irides
M1	nt821 mutation for double muscling
NG	Not genomic tested
NH	Neuropathic hydrocephalus
OH	Oculocutaneous hypopigmentation
OS	Osteopetrosis
RD	Red gene

Symbol	Meaning
RTF	Produced 35 or more calves from daughters without a simple recessive genetic defect or genetic factor
SN	Syndactyly
WT	Wild type color gene
XA	Affected of more than one genetic condition
XC	Carrier of more than 1 genetic condition
XF	Free of more than 1 genetic condition

ANGUS GENETIC TREND, EPD BY BIRTH YEAR

YEAR	Production								Management				Maternal						Carcass				\$Values					
	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
1972	+5	-3.3	-18	-35	+05	-1.51	-7	+20	+12	+48	+49	+95	+10.4	+0	+9	-90	-1.3	+30	-1	+22	+18	+003	+1	-47	+25	+27	+52	+68
1973	+5	-3.2	-17	-33	+05	-1.43	-7	+20	+12	+48	+49	+109	+10.4	+0	+9	-88	-1.2	+29	-1	+21	+17	+003	+2	-46	+26	+26	+52	+69
1974	+4	-3.0	-16	-31	+05	-1.42	-6	+20	+12	+48	+49	+109	+10.6	+0	+9	-85	-1.2	+29	-1	+21	+17	+002	+3	-45	+27	+26	+54	+73
1975	+4	-2.8	-15	-28	+05	-1.40	-6	+20	+12	+48	+49	+113	+10.5	+0	+8	-82	-1.2	+29	-2	+21	+17	+002	+2	-45	+29	+26	+55	+73
1976	+4	-2.6	-13	-26	+06	-1.37	-5	+19	+12	+49	+49	+107	+10.5	+0	+8	-80	-1.1	+29	-2	+21	+17	+002	+3	-43	+29	+26	+56	+76
1977	+3	-2.3	-12	-23	+06	-1.32	-5	+19	+12	+48	+49	+111	+10.4	+0	+8	-76	-1.1	+28	-2	+21	+17	+002	+4	-42	+32	+26	+58	+79
1978	+2	-2.1	-10	-21	+06	-1.30	-5	+19	+12	+48	+49	+110	+10.7	+0	+8	-74	-1.0	+28	-2	+20	+16	+002	+6	-40	+32	+26	+57	+80
1979	+2	-1.9	-9	-19	+07	-1.29	-4	+20	+12	+49	+49	+105	+10.5	+0	+8	-71	-1.0	+28	-3	+20	+15	+002	+6	-39	+33	+26	+58	+81
1980	+1	-1.6	-7	-16	+08	-1.26	-3	+20	+12	+48	+49	+105	+10.5	+0	+8	-66	-9	+27	-3	+20	+14	+002	+7	-37	+34	+25	+60	+85
1981	+0	-1.3	-5	-12	+08	-1.21	-3	+21	+12	+48	+49	+104	+10.6	+0	+8	-62	-8	+27	-2	+20	+14	+002	+9	-35	+36	+25	+62	+89
1982	+0	-9	-3	-8	+08	-1.16	-2	+21	+11	+49	+49	+107	+10.6	+0	+8	-58	-7	+26	-2	+20	+14	+001	+9	-34	+39	+25	+64	+92
1983	+0	-4	-1	-4	+09	-1.13	-1	+21	+11	+48	+49	+102	+10.6	+0	+8	-51	-6	+26	-2	+20	+14	+001	+11	-33	+41	+25	+66	+97
1984	-1	+0	+1	+0	+09	-1.10	+0	+22	+11	+48	+49	+108	+10.6	+0	+8	-47	-5	+25	-2	+20	+14	-001	+12	-31	+43	+26	+69	+101
1985	-2	+4	+3	+2	+10	-1.06	+1	+21	+11	+48	+49	+103	+10.6	+1	+9	-41	-4	+24	-1	+20	+14	-003	+14	-28	+44	+26	+69	+103
1986	-2	+7	+5	+5	+10	-1.01	+2	+22	+11	+48	+49	+105	+10.7	+1	+9	-37	-3	+23	-1	+21	+14	-003	+16	-26	+44	+26	+71	+108
1987	-3	+1.1	+7	+9	+11	-96	+3	+21	+11	+48	+49	+109	+10.7	+1	+10	-33	-3	+22	+0	+20	+14	-005	+18	-23	+47	+26	+72	+111
1988	-3	+1.4	+9	+12	+11	-89	+3	+23	+11	+48	+49	+106	+10.7	+1	+10	-29	-2	+22	+0	+21	+15	-004	+19	-21	+47	+26	+73	+114
1989	-3	+1.6	+10	+15	+12	-82	+4	+24	+10	+48	+49	+106	+10.8	+2	+11	-23	-1	+21	+1	+22	+15	-004	+21	-20	+49	+27	+76	+120
1990	-3	+1.8	+12	+19	+13	-76	+4	+26	+10	+48	+49	+104	+10.8	+2	+12	-19	-1	+20	+1	+22	+14	-003	+24	-17	+50	+27	+77	+124
1991	-3	+1.9	+14	+22	+13	-69	+4	+27	+10	+48	+50	+103	+10.7	+2	+12	-14	+0	+19	+2	+23	+13	-003	+25	-15	+51	+27	+78	+126
1992	-3	+1.9	+15	+25	+13	-64	+4	+29	+10	+49	+50	+105	+10.8	+3	+13	-13	+0	+18	+3	+23	+13	-003	+27	-13	+53	+27	+80	+131
1993	-2	+1.9	+17	+27	+14	-57	+5	+29	+9	+49	+50	+103	+10.7	+3	+14	-10	+0	+17	+4	+24	+13	-002	+30	-9	+53	+27	+80	+134
1994	-1	+1.9	+18	+30	+14	-50	+4	+30	+9	+49	+50	+103	+10.7	+4	+15	-7	+0	+17	+5	+24	+13	+000	+32	-7	+55	+27	+82	+138
1995	-1	+1.8	+19	+33	+14	-44	+4	+30	+9	+49	+50	+105	+10.7	+4	+16	-5	+0	+16	+6	+25	+13	+001	+34	-4	+57	+28	+84	+143
1996	+0	+1.8	+21	+36	+15	-37	+4	+30	+9	+49	+50	+104	+10.7	+4	+17	-1	+0	+15	+7	+25	+14	+002	+37	-1	+57	+28	+85	+147
1997	+0	+1.8	+22	+39	+15	-31	+4	+33	+8	+49	+50	+102	+10.7	+5	+17	+1	+0	+14	+9	+25	+14	+003	+37	+0	+60	+28	+87	+150
1998	+0	+1.8	+24	+41	+16	-26	+5	+37	+8	+49	+50	+104	+10.6	+5	+18	+4	+1	+12	+10	+26	+14	+004	+38	+4	+59	+28	+87	+151
1999	+0	+1.9	+25	+44	+16	-21	+5	+42	+8	+49	+50	+102	+10.5	+5	+18	+7	+1	+11	+11	+27	+15	+005	+38	+4	+61	+28	+90	+155
2000	+0	+1.9	+27	+47	+17	-16	+5	+45	+8	+49	+50	+103	+10.5	+6	+19	+10	+1	+9	+12	+29	+17	+005	+39	+8	+62	+30	+92	+158
2001	+1	+1.9	+28	+50	+17	-12	+5	+45	+9	+49	+50	+101	+10.5	+6	+20	+13	+1	+7	+14	+31	+19	+005	+40	+10	+65	+31	+95	+163
2002	+1	+1.9	+30	+53	+18	-08	+5	+48	+9	+49	+50	+98	+10.5	+6	+20	+16	+2	+6	+15	+33	+21	+005	+41	+12	+65	+32	+97	+167
2003	+2	+1.9	+31	+56	+18	-04	+5	+49	+9	+49	+50	+93	+10.5	+6	+21	+18	+2	+4	+17	+36	+23	+006	+42	+14	+68	+33	+101	+173
2004	+2	+1.8	+33	+58	+19	+01	+5	+51	+9	+49	+50	+89	+10.6	+7	+21	+20	+2	+3	+18	+38	+25	+006	+43	+17	+68	+34	+102	+175
2005	+2	+1.8	+34	+61	+19	+07	+5	+54	+9	+49	+50	+89	+10.6	+7	+22	+22	+2	+2	+20	+40	+27	+006	+44	+19	+70	+36	+106	+181
2006	+3	+1.7	+36	+64	+19	+13	+5	+57	+10	+49	+50	+91	+10.5	+7	+22	+24	+2	+1	+21	+41	+29	+007	+45	+22	+71	+36	+107	+184
2007	+3	+1.7	+37	+67	+19	+20	+5	+60	+9	+49	+50	+94	+10.4	+7	+23	+26	+2	-1	+23	+44	+31	+008	+45	+24	+73	+38	+110	+188
2008	+3	+1.6	+39	+70	+20	+27	+5	+59	+10	+49	+50	+100	+10.3	+7	+23	+29	+2	-2	+24	+46	+32	+010	+45	+27	+73	+39	+111	+189
2009	+4	+1.6	+41	+72	+20	+32	+5	+61	+11	+50	+50	+102	+10.3	+7	+23	+31	+2	-3	+25	+48	+34	+011	+47	+29	+73	+40	+112	+192
2010	+4	+1.5	+42	+75	+20	+38	+5	+64	+11	+50	+50	+107	+10.4	+7	+24	+33	+2	-5	+27	+46	+36	+011	+47	+31	+75	+39	+114	+195
2011	+4	+1.5	+43	+77	+21	+42	+5	+66	+12	+50	+50	+102	+10.5	+7	+24	+34	+2	-5	+28	+47	+38	+011	+48	+32	+76	+40	+116	+198
2012	+5	+1.5	+45	+80	+21	+49	+5	+69	+13	+50	+50	+98	+10.4	+8	+24	+36	+2	-6	+30	+48	+41	+012	+50	+35	+77	+40	+118	+203
2013	+5	+1.4	+46	+83	+22	+55	+4	+70	+13	+51	+50	+96	+10.4	+8	+24	+38	+2	-7	+31	+50	+42	+013	+50	+36	+78	+41	+120	+206
2014	+5	+1.4	+48	+86	+22	+63	+5	+71	+14	+51	+49	+98	+10.5	+8	+24	+41	+2	-8	+33	+52	+45	+013	+51	+38	+79	+43	+122	+209
2015	+5	+1.3	+50	+89	+23	+68	+5	+73	+15	+51	+50	+105	+10.7	+8	+24	+44	+3	-10	+35	+53	+48	+011	+52	+40	+80	+44	+124	+213
2016	+5	+1.3	+52	+92	+23	+73	+5	+73	+15	+51	+50	+106	+10.9	+8	+24	+47	+3	-11	+37	+54	+52	+010	+53	+42	+81	+45	+126	+216
2017	+6	+1.3	+54	+96	+23	+81	+5	+75	+15	+51	+49	+115	+11.2	+8	+24	+52	+3	-13	+39	+55	+54	+011	+54	+44	+83	+45	+128	+220
2018	+6	+1.3	+57	+101	+24	+95	+5	+78	+16	+51	+49	+123	+11.5	+8	+25	+57	+4	-16	+42	+57	+57	+011	+56	+48	+84	+46	+131	+226
2019	+6	+1.2	+59	+105	+25	+103	+6	+83	+17	+50	+49	+134	+11.7	+8	+25	+63	+4	-19	+46	+61	+61	+011	+56	+50	+87	+49	+136	+232
2020	+6	+1.2	+61	+108	+25	+109	+6	+86	+18	+50	+48	+141	+11.9	+8	+26	+65	+5	-21	+48	+65	+64	+012	+58	+53	+88	+51	+139	+238
2021	+6	+1.1	+63	+113	+26	+116	+6	+88	+19	+49	+48	+134	+12.1	+8	+26	+68	+5	-22	+52	+72	+69	+011	+60	+55	+93	+55	+147	+251

Continued on page 136

AMERICAN ANGUS ASSOCIATION® | SIRE EVALUATION REPORT | SPRING 2022

EPD AND \$VALUE PERCENTILE BREAKDOWNS SIRES - CURRENT SIRES

Production									Management				Maternal						Carcass				\$Values					
TOP PCT	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
1%	+17	-3.2	+90	+158	+35	-77	+1.3	+2.19	+34	+30	+31	-2.07	+18.7	+17	+40	+130	+1.3	+20	+79	+1.41	+1.19	-.054	+94	+96	+132	+86	+200	+326
2%	+16	-2.6	+87	+152	+34	-43	+1.2	+2.01	+32	+32	+34	-1.72	+178	+16	+38	+122	+1.2	+17	+75	+1.30	+1.12	-.045	+89	+92	+127	+80	+192	+314
3%	+15	-2.2	+84	+148	+33	-28	+1.2	+1.90	+31	+34	+35	-1.48	+172	+15	+37	+117	+1.1	+15	+72	+1.23	+1.07	-.040	+87	+90	+123	+77	+187	+306
4%	+15	-2.0	+82	+145	+32	-19	+1.1	+1.82	+30	+35	+36	-1.30	+16.8	+15	+36	+113	+1.1	+14	+70	+1.17	+1.03	-.037	+84	+88	+121	+74	+183	+301
5%	+14	-1.8	+81	+143	+32	-12	+1.1	+1.76	+30	+36	+37	-1.15	+16.4	+14	+35	+110	+1.0	+12	+68	+1.13	+1.00	-.034	+83	+86	+119	+72	+180	+297
10%	+13	-1.1	+76	+135	+30	+13	+1.0	+1.53	+27	+39	+40	-.66	+15.3	+13	+33	+98	+9	+6	+63	+98	+90	-.024	+77	+81	+111	+65	+169	+282
15%	+12	-.6	+73	+129	+29	+28	+9	+1.39	+25	+41	+41	-.33	+14.5	+13	+31	+89	+8	+2	+59	+88	+83	-.017	+74	+77	+107	+61	+162	+272
20%	+11	-.2	+70	+125	+28	+40	+8	+1.27	+24	+43	+43	-.04	+13.9	+12	+30	+83	+7	-.2	+56	+80	+78	-.011	+71	+74	+103	+57	+156	+264
25%	+10	+1	+68	+121	+27	+50	+7	+1.18	+23	+44	+44	+19	+13.3	+11	+29	+78	+6	-.4	+53	+74	+73	-.007	+68	+71	+100	+54	+151	+257
30%	+9	+3	+66	+117	+27	+59	+7	+1.10	+21	+45	+45	+40	+12.9	+11	+28	+73	+6	-.7	+51	+69	+69	-.003	+66	+69	+97	+51	+147	+251
35%	+9	+6	+64	+114	+26	+67	+6	+1.02	+20	+47	+46	+60	+12.4	+10	+27	+69	+5	-.9	+49	+64	+65	+.001	+64	+67	+94	+49	+143	+245
40%	+8	+8	+62	+111	+25	+75	+6	+95	+19	+48	+47	+78	+12.0	+10	+28	+65	+5	-.11	+46	+59	+62	+.005	+62	+65	+92	+47	+139	+240
45%	+7	+1.0	+61	+108	+25	+82	+5	+87	+18	+49	+48	+96	+11.6	+9	+26	+60	+4	-.13	+44	+54	+58	+.008	+60	+63	+89	+44	+136	+235
50%	+7	+1.2	+59	+105	+24	+89	+5	+80	+17	+50	+49	+114	+11.2	+9	+25	+57	+4	-.15	+42	+50	+55	+.012	+58	+61	+87	+42	+132	+229
55%	+6	+1.4	+57	+102	+24	+96	+5	+73	+16	+51	+50	+131	+10.8	+8	+25	+52	+3	-.17	+40	+46	+51	+.015	+56	+58	+84	+40	+128	+224
60%	+6	+1.7	+56	+99	+23	+104	+4	+65	+15	+52	+51	+149	+10.4	+8	+24	+48	+2	-.19	+38	+42	+48	+.019	+54	+56	+82	+38	+124	+219
65%	+5	+1.9	+54	+95	+22	+112	+4	+57	+14	+53	+52	+168	+10.0	+7	+23	+44	+2	-.21	+36	+37	+45	+.023	+52	+54	+80	+36	+121	+213
70%	+4	+2.1	+52	+92	+22	+120	+3	+49	+12	+54	+53	+189	+9.5	+7	+22	+39	+1	-.23	+34	+33	+41	+.026	+50	+52	+77	+34	+117	+207
75%	+3	+2.4	+50	+88	+21	+128	+3	+41	+11	+56	+54	+211	+9.0	+6	+21	+34	+1	-.25	+31	+29	+37	+.031	+48	+49	+74	+32	+112	+200
80%	+3	+2.7	+47	+84	+20	+138	+2	+31	+9	+57	+55	+236	+8.5	+5	+20	+28	+0	-.27	+28	+24	+33	+.035	+45	+46	+71	+30	+107	+193
85%	+1	+3.0	+44	+78	+19	+149	+1	+19	+7	+59	+57	+267	+7.9	+4	+19	+21	+1	-.30	+25	+18	+27	+.041	+42	+42	+67	+27	+101	+184
90%	+0	+3.5	+40	+70	+18	+162	+0	+05	+5	+61	+59	+306	+7.1	+3	+18	+11	+2	-.34	+20	+11	+21	+.048	+38	+37	+61	+24	+93	+171
95%	-.2	+4.2	+32	+56	+16	+181	-.2	-.16	+1	+65	+61	+3.67	+5.7	+1	+15	-.5	-.5	-.39	+11	+01	+11	+.058	+32	+29	+51	+20	+78	+150
Total Animals	29,242	29,290	29,290	29,290	22,917	22,917	23,735	24,587	23,686	23,030	23,030	22,798	22,872	29,242	29,290	22,991	22,991	29,132	25,077	25,077	25,077	25,077	29,082	29,304	29,090	26,964	26,921	26,904
Avg. EPD	+6	+12	+58	+103	+24	+88	+5	+80	+16	+50	+49	+118	+11.2	+8	+25	+55	+3	-.15	+41	+53	+55	+.012	+57	+58	+86	+44	+131	+227

EPD AND \$VALUE PERCENTILE BREAKDOWNS SIRES - CURRENT DAMS

Production									Management				Maternal						Carcass				\$Values					
TOP PCT	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
1%	+16	-2.7	+83	+146	+33	-.50	+1.3	+2.03	+32	+32	+33	-1.99	+18.2	+16	+39	+117	+1.2	+17	+71	+1.32	+1.11	-.048	+90	+89	+122	+80	+186	+305
2%	+15	-2.2	+80	+140	+32	-.35	+1.2	+1.87	+30	+34	+35	-1.61	+174	+15	+38	+108	+1.1	+15	+67	+1.21	+1.03	-.041	+86	+86	+117	+75	+179	+295
3%	+14	-1.8	+77	+136	+31	-.25	+1.1	+1.76	+29	+36	+36	-1.38	+16.8	+15	+36	+103	+1.0	+14	+64	+1.14	+98	-.036	+83	+83	+114	+72	+174	+288
4%	+13	-1.6	+76	+133	+30	-.19	+1.1	+1.68	+28	+37	+37	-1.21	+16.5	+14	+36	+99	+1.0	+12	+62	+1.09	+94	-.033	+81	+81	+112	+70	+170	+283
5%	+13	-1.4	+74	+130	+30	-.13	+1.0	+1.62	+28	+37	+38	-1.06	+16.1	+14	+35	+95	+9	+11	+61	+1.05	+91	-.030	+80	+79	+110	+68	+167	+279
10%	+12	-.8	+69	+122	+28	+06	+9	+1.42	+25	+40	+41	-.59	+15.0	+13	+33	+84	+8	+7	+55	+91	+80	-.020	+75	+74	+103	+61	+157	+265
15%	+10	-.3	+66	+117	+27	+18	+8	+1.28	+23	+42	+42	-.27	+14.3	+12	+31	+77	+7	+3	+51	+82	+74	-.014	+72	+71	+99	+57	+151	+256
20%	+10	+0	+64	+113	+26	+28	+8	+1.18	+22	+44	+44	-.02	+13.7	+11	+30	+71	+6	+1	+48	+75	+69	-.009	+69	+68	+95	+53	+146	+249
25%	+9	+3	+62	+109	+25	+36	+7	+1.09	+21	+45	+45	+19	+13.2	+11	+29	+66	+6	-.2	+46	+70	+64	-.005	+67	+65	+93	+51	+142	+243
30%	+8	+5	+60	+106	+25	+43	+7	+1.01	+20	+46	+46	+39	+12.8	+10	+29	+62	+5	-.4	+44	+65	+60	-.001	+65	+63	+90	+48	+138	+238
35%	+8	+8	+58	+103	+24	+50	+6	+94	+19	+47	+47	+56	+12.4	+10	+28	+57	+4	-.6	+42	+60	+57	+.003	+63	+61	+88	+46	+134	+233
40%	+7	+1.0	+57	+100	+24	+57	+6	+87	+18	+48	+48	+73	+12.0	+9	+27	+54	+4	-.7	+40	+56	+54	+.006	+61	+59	+86	+44	+131	+229
45%	+6	+1.2	+55	+97	+23	+64	+6	+80	+17	+49	+49	+89	+11.7	+9	+26	+50	+4	-.9	+38	+52	+51	+.009	+60	+57	+84	+42	+128	+224
50%	+6	+1.4	+54	+95	+23	+70	+5	+74	+16	+50	+49	+105	+11.3	+9	+26	+46	+3	-.11	+36	+49	+48	+.012	+58	+55	+82	+41	+125	+220
55%	+5	+1.6	+52	+92	+22	+77	+5	+67	+15	+51	+50	+121	+10.9	+8	+25	+43	+3	-.12	+35	+45	+45	+.015	+56	+54	+80	+39	+122	+216
60%	+5	+1.8	+51	+90	+22	+83	+4	+61	+14	+52	+51	+137	+10.6	+8	+24	+39	+2	-.14	+33	+42	+42	+.018	+55	+52	+78	+37	+119	+211
65%	+4	+2.0	+49	+87	+21	+90	+4	+54	+12	+53	+52	+154	+10.2	+7	+24	+35	+2	-.16	+31	+38	+39	+.022	+53	+50	+76	+36	+116	+207
70%	+4	+2.2	+47	+84	+20	+98	+3	+47	+11	+54	+53	+173	+9.8	+7	+23	+31	+1	-.18	+29	+34	+36	+.025	+51	+47	+73	+34	+113	+202
75%	+3	+2.4	+46	+80	+20	+106	+3	+39	+10	+55	+54	+193	+9.4	+6	+22	+27	+1	-.20	+27	+31	+32	+.029	+49	+45	+71	+32	+110	+197
80%	+2	+2.7	+43	+77	+19	+115	+2	+30	+9	+57	+55	+216	+8.9	+5	+21	+22	+0	-.22	+25	+27	+28	+.033	+47	+42	+68	+30	+106	+191
85%	+1	+3.0	+41	+72	+18	+125	+2	+19	+7	+58	+56	+243	+8.3	+4	+20	+16	+1	-.24	+22	+22	+24	+.038	+44	+39	+65	+28	+101	+184
90%	+0	+3.4	+38	+66	+17	+139	+1	+06	+5	+61	+58	+280	+7.5	+3	+19	+9	+2	-.28	+19	+16	+19	+.044	+40	+35	+61	+26	+95	+176
95%	-.2	+4.0	+32	+57	+16	+159	-.1	-.15	+1	+64	+61	+3.36	+6.4	+1	+16	-.3	-.4	-.33	+13	+07	+11	+.053	+35	+29	+54	+22	+85	+161
Total Animals	349,709	350,488	350,488	350,488	231,517	231,517	246,344	247,920	244,486	233,783	233,783	230,318	234,210	349,709	350,488	240,002	240,002	348,063	266,580	266,580	266,580	266,580	347,608	350,606	347,904	305,649	305,460	305,325
Avg. EPD	+6	+1.4	+54	+94	+23	+71	+5	+74	+15	+50	+49	+108	+11.3	+8	+26	+46	+3	-.11	+37	+51	+49	+.012	+57	+55	+82	+42	+126	+220

NON-PARENT BULLS

Production									Management				Maternal						Carcass				\$Values					
TOP PCT	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
1%	+16	-3.0	+90	+158	+36	-24	+1.4	+2.23	+34	+30	+31	-2.14	+19.2	+16	+40	+135	+1.4	+17	+82	+1.41	+1.19	-.051	+94	+96	+131	+84	+199	+322
2%	+15	-2.4	+87	+152	+34	-.09	+1.3	+2.06	+32	+32	+33	-1.74	+18.3	+15	+38	+127	+1.3	+14	+78	+1.31	+1.12	-.044	+90	+92	+125	+80	+192	+313
3%	+15	-2.1	+84	+148	+33	+0.1	+1.2	+1.95	+31	+33	+34	-1.50	+17.7	+15	+37	+121	+1.2	+12	+75	+1.25	+1.08	-.039	+88	+90	+121	+77	+187	+306
4%	+14	-1.8	+83	+145	+33	+0.9	+1.2	+1.87	+31	+35	+35	-1.32	+17.3	+15	+36	+117	+1.1	+10	+73	+1.20	+1.04	-.036	+86	+88	+119	+74	+183	+301
5%	+14	-1.6	+81	+143	+32	+1.4	+1.1	+1.81	+30	+36	+36	-1.17	+17.0	+14	+35	+114	+1.1	+8	+72	+1.16	+1.02	-.033	+84	+86	+116	+73	+180	+297
10%	+12	-1.0	+76	+134	+31	+3.4	+1.0	+1.59	+28	+39	+39	-.66	+15.9	+13	+33	+102	+9	+2	+66	+1.03	+92	-.023	+79	+81	+109	+66	+170	+284
15%	+11	-.5	+73	+129	+30	+4.8	+9	+1.44	+26	+41	+41	-.31	+15.1	+12	+31	+95	+8	-1	+62	+94	+86	-.016	+76	+77	+104	+62	+163	+275
20%	+10	-.2	+70	+124	+29	+5.8	+9	+1.32	+24	+43	+42	-.03	+14.5	+12	+30	+89	+8	-4	+59	+87	+81	-.011	+74	+74	+100	+59	+158	+267
25%	+10	+1	+68	+120	+28	+6.7	+8	+1.23	+23	+44	+44	+22	+14.0	+11	+29	+84	+7	-6	+56	+81	+77	-.006	+71	+71	+97	+56	+153	+261
30%	+9	+4	+66	+117	+27	+7.5	+7	+1.14	+22	+45	+45	+44	+13.5	+11	+28	+79	+6	-8	+54	+76	+73	-.002	+69	+69	+94	+54	+149	+256
35%	+8	+6	+64	+114	+27	+8.2	+7	+1.05	+21	+47	+46	+65	+13.1	+10	+28	+75	+6	-10	+52	+71	+69	+0.001	+67	+67	+92	+52	+145	+251
40%	+8	+8	+63	+111	+26	+9.0	+7	+9.8	+20	+48	+47	+85	+12.6	+10	+27	+71	+5	-12	+50	+67	+66	+0.005	+65	+65	+89	+49	+142	+246
45%	+7	+1.0	+61	+108	+25	+9.6	+6	+9.0	+19	+49	+48	+104	+12.2	+9	+26	+67	+5	-14	+48	+63	+63	+0.009	+64	+63	+87	+48	+138	+241
50%	+7	+1.2	+60	+106	+25	+10.3	+6	+8.3	+18	+50	+49	+123	+11.8	+9	+26	+63	+4	-15	+46	+59	+60	+0.012	+62	+61	+85	+46	+135	+237
55%	+6	+1.4	+58	+103	+24	+11.0	+5	+7.6	+17	+51	+50	+142	+11.4	+8	+25	+59	+4	-17	+44	+55	+57	+0.015	+60	+59	+83	+44	+132	+233
60%	+6	+1.7	+56	+100	+24	+11.7	+5	+6.8	+16	+52	+51	+161	+11.0	+8	+24	+55	+3	-19	+42	+50	+54	+0.019	+59	+57	+81	+42	+129	+228
65%	+5	+1.9	+55	+97	+23	+12.4	+4	+6.0	+15	+53	+52	+182	+10.6	+8	+24	+51	+3	-20	+40	+46	+50	+0.022	+57	+55	+79	+40	+125	+223
70%	+4	+2.1	+53	+94	+22	+13.1	+4	+5.2	+14	+55	+53	+2.04	+10.1	+7	+23	+46	+2	-22	+38	+42	+47	+0.026	+55	+53	+77	+38	+122	+218
75%	+4	+2.4	+51	+91	+22	+13.9	+3	+4.4	+12	+56	+54	+2.28	+9.7	+6	+22	+42	+2	-24	+36	+37	+43	+0.030	+53	+50	+75	+36	+118	+213
80%	+3	+2.6	+49	+87	+21	+14.8	+3	+3.4	+11	+58	+55	+2.55	+9.1	+6	+21	+36	+1	-27	+34	+32	+39	+0.035	+50	+48	+72	+34	+114	+207
85%	+2	+3.0	+46	+83	+20	+15.8	+2	+2.3	+9	+59	+57	+2.88	+8.5	+5	+20	+30	+0	-30	+31	+26	+35	+0.040	+48	+45	+69	+31	+108	+199
90%	+1	+3.4	+43	+77	+19	+17.1	+1	+0.8	+7	+62	+59	+3.33	+7.6	+4	+19	+23	-1	-33	+27	+19	+29	+0.047	+44	+41	+65	+29	+102	+190
95%	-1	+4.1	+37	+66	+17	+19.0	+0	-.14	+3	+65	+62	+4.08	+6.4	+2	+17	+11	-2	-39	+21	+0.8	+19	+0.057	+39	+34	+57	+24	+92	+175
Total Animals	159,829	162,116	162,116	162,116	79,733	79,733	83,711	89,064	82,662	79,793	79,793	78,725	78,459	159,829	162,116	78,459	78,459	161,517	90,915	90,915	90,915	90,915	161,230	163,745	161,530	129,181	129,172	129,063
Avg. EPD	+6	+1.2	+59	+105	+25	+10.3	+6	+8.3	+17	+50	+49	+1.31	+11.8	+9	+26	+63	+4	-15	+46	+60	+60	+0.012	+61	+61	+86	+47	+135	+236

NON-PARENT COWS

Production									Management				Maternal						Carcass				\$Values					
TOP PCT	CED	BW	WW	YW	RADG	DMI	YH	SC	Doc	Claw	Angle	PAP	HP	CEM	Milk	MW	MH	SEN	CW	Marb	RE	Fat	\$M	\$W	\$F	\$G	\$B	\$C
1%	+16	-2.9	+90	+159	+36	-.33	+1.4	+2.22	+34	+30	+31	-2.10	+18.8	+16	+39	+138	+1.4	+17	+84	+1.46	+1.22	-.054	+92	+95	+131	+85	+202	+325
2%	+15	-2.3	+86	+152	+35	-.16	+1.3	+2.05	+32	+32	+33	-1.73	+18.0	+15	+37	+129	+1.3	+14	+80	+1.36	+1.16	-.046	+89	+91	+125	+81	+194	+315
3%	+14	-2.0	+84	+148	+34	-.06	+1.2	+1.94	+31	+34	+34	-1.47	+17.5	+15	+36	+124	+1.2	+12	+77	+1.29	+1.11	-.042	+86	+89	+121	+78	+189	+308
4%	+14	-1.7	+82	+145	+33	+0.2	+1.2	+1.86	+31	+35	+35	-1.28	+17.1	+14	+35	+120	+1.2	+10	+75	+1.25	+1.08	-.038	+85	+87	+118	+75	+185	+303
5%	+13	-1.5	+81	+142	+33	+0.8	+1.2	+1.79	+30	+36	+36	-1.13	+16.7	+14	+34	+116	+1.1	+9	+73	+1.21	+1.05	-.035	+83	+85	+116	+74	+182	+299
10%	+12	-.9	+75	+133	+31	+2.9	+1.0	+1.57	+27	+39	+39	-.61	+15.6	+13	+32	+105	+1.0	+3	+67	+1.08	+95	-.025	+78	+79	+108	+67	+171	+285
15%	+11	-.4	+72	+127	+30	+4.3	+9	+1.43	+26	+41	+41	-.25	+14.8	+12	+31	+97	+9	-1	+63	+99	+89	-.018	+75	+75	+103	+63	+164	+275
20%	+10	-.1	+69	+122	+29	+5.4	+9	+1.31	+24	+43	+42	+0.3	+14.2	+12	+30	+91	+8	-4	+60	+92	+84	-.013	+73	+72	+99	+59	+159	+268
25%	+9	+2	+67	+119	+28	+6.4	+8	+1.21	+23	+44	+44	+27	+13.7	+11	+29	+85	+7	-6	+57	+86	+79	-.009	+70	+69	+96	+57	+154	+261
30%	+9	+4	+65	+115	+28	+7.3	+8	+1.12	+22	+46	+45	+50	+13.2	+11	+28	+80	+7	-8	+55	+80	+75	-.005	+68	+67	+93	+54	+150	+256
35%	+8	+6	+63	+112	+27	+8.0	+7	+1.04	+21	+47	+46	+70	+12.8	+10	+27	+76	+6	-10	+53	+76	+72	-.001	+67	+65	+91	+52	+146	+251
40%	+8	+9	+62	+109	+26	+8.8	+7	+9.5	+20	+48	+47	+90	+12.4	+10	+27	+72	+6	-12	+51	+71	+68	+0.003	+65	+63	+89	+50	+142	+246
45%	+7	+1.1	+60	+107	+26	+9.5	+6	+8.8	+19	+49	+48	+108	+12.0	+9	+26	+68	+5	-13	+49	+67	+65	+0.006	+63	+61	+87	+48	+139	+241
50%	+7	+1.3	+59	+104	+25	+10.2	+6	+8.0	+18	+50	+49	+128	+11.6	+9	+25	+64	+5	-15	+47	+63	+62	+0.010	+61	+59	+85	+46	+136	+237
55%	+6	+1.5	+57	+102	+24	+10.9	+5	+7.3	+17	+51	+50	+147	+11.1	+8	+25	+60	+4	-16	+45	+58	+59	+0.013	+60	+57	+83	+44	+132	+232
60%	+5	+1.7	+56	+99	+24	+11.6	+5	+6.5	+16	+53	+51	+167	+10.7	+8	+24	+56	+3	-18	+43	+54	+55	+0.017	+58	+55	+81	+42	+129	+228
65%	+5	+1.9	+54	+96	+23	+12.4	+4	+5.7	+15	+54	+52	+188	+10.3	+7	+24	+51	+3	-20	+41	+50	+52	+0.020	+56	+53	+79	+40	+126	+223
70%	+4	+2.1	+52	+93	+23	+13.1	+4	+4.9	+13	+55	+53	+2.10	+9.8	+7	+23	+47	+2	-22	+38	+45	+49	+0.024	+54	+51	+77	+38	+122	+218
75%	+4	+2.4	+51	+90	+22	+14.0	+3	+4.0	+12	+56	+54	+2.36	+9.3	+6	+22	+42	+2	-24	+36	+40	+45	+0.028	+52	+49	+75	+36	+118	+212
80%	+3	+2.6	+49	+86	+21	+14.9	+3	+3.0	+10	+58	+55	+2.65	+8.8	+6	+21	+36	+1	-26	+33	+35	+41	+0.033	+50	+47	+72	+34	+114	+206
85%	+2	+3.0	+46	+82	+20	+16.0	+2	+1.8	+9	+60	+57	+2.98	+8.1	+5	+20	+30	+0	-29	+30	+28	+36	+0.038	+47	+44	+69	+32	+109	+198
90%	+1	+3.4	+43	+76	+19	+17.4	+1	+0.3	+6	+62	+59	+3.46	+7.3	+4	+19	+22	-1	-33	+26	+20	+30	+0.045	+44	+40	+65	+29	+103	+189
95%	-1	+4.0	+37	+65	+17	+19.3	+0	-.20	+3	+65	+62	+4.23	+6.1	+2	+17	+9	-3	-38	+20	+0.9	+20	+0.055	+38	+33	+58	+25	+92	+173
Total Animals	122,656	124,473	124,473	124,473	48,940	48,940	52,433	48,730	51,729	49,656	49,656	48,846	49,879	122,656	124,473	48,817	48,817	122,289	54,708	54,708	54,708	54,708	122,004	125,580	122,317	92,402	92,398	92,311
Avg. EPD	+6	+1.3	+59	+104	+25	+10.1	+6	+8.0	+17	+50	+49	+1.38	+11.5	+9	+26	+63	+4	-15	+47	+63	+62	+0.010	+61	+59	+86	+47	+136	+236